A New Equation of State for H₂O lce lh

Rainer Feistel^{a)}

Leibniz-Institut für Ostseeforschung, Universität Rostock, D-18119 Warnemünde, Germany

Wolfgang Wagner

Lehrstuhl für Thermodynamik, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-44780 Bochum, Germany

(Received 3 February 2005; revised 14 September 2005; accepted 19 October 2005)

Various thermodynamic equilibrium properties of naturally abundant, hexagonal ice (ice Ih) of water (H₂O) have been used to develop a Gibbs energy function g(T,p) of temperature and pressure, covering the ranges 0-273.16 K and 0 Pa-210 MPa, expressed in the temperature scale ITS-90. It serves as a fundamental equation from which additional properties are obtained as partial derivatives by thermodynamic rules. Extending previously developed Gibbs functions, it covers the entire existence region of ice Ih in the T-p diagram. Close to zero temperature, it obeys the theoretical cubic limiting law of Debye for heat capacity and Pauling's residual entropy. It is based on a significantly enlarged experimental data set compared to its predecessors. Due to the inherent thermodynamic cross relations, the formulas for particular quantities like density, thermal expansion, or compressibility are thus fully consistent with each other, are more reliable now, and extended in their ranges of validity. In conjunction with the IAPWS-95 formulation for the fluid phases of water, the new chemical potential of ice allows an alternative computation of the melting and sublimation curves, being improved especially near the triple point, and valid down to 130 K sublimation temperature. It provides an absolute entropy reference value for liquid water at the triple point. © 2006 American Institute of *Physics.* [DOI: 10.1063/1.2183324]

Key words: compressibility; density; entropy; enthalpy; Gibbs energy; heat capacity; ice; melting point; sublimation pressure; thermal expansion; thermodynamic properties; water.

Contents

1.	Introduction.	1024
2.	The New Equation of State (Gibbs Potential	
	Function)	1026
3.	Comparison with Experiments	1027
	3.1. Density	1027
	3.2. Cubic Expansion Coefficient.	1028
	3.3. Isothermal and Isentropic Compressibility	1029
	3.4. Specific Isobaric Heat Capacity	1030
	3.5. Specific Entropy	1031
	3.6. Sublimation Curve	1032
	3.7. Melting Curve	1033
4.	Uncertainties	1034
	4.1 Summary	1034
	4.2. Uncertainty of Specific Entropy	1034
	4.3. Uncertainty of Specific Gibbs Energy	1036
	4.4. Uncertainty of Specific Enthalpy	1036
	4.5. Uncertainty of Sublimation Enthalpy	1036
	4.6. Uncertainty of Sublimation Pressure	1037
	4.7. Uncertainties of Melting Temperature and	

	Pressure	1037
5.	Conclusion.	1038
6.	Acknowledgments	1038
7.	Appendix: Tables and Diagrams of	
	Thermodynamic Properties of Ice Ih	1039
8.	References	1046

List of Tables

 3.3. Isothermal and Isentropic Compressibility 3.4. Specific Isobaric Heat Capacity 3.5. Specific Entropy 3.6. Sublimation Curve 3.7. Melting Curve 4. Uncertainties 4.1 Summary 4.2. Uncertainty of Specific Entropy 4.3. Uncertainty of Specific Gibbs Energy 4.4. Uncertainty of Specific Enthalpy 4.5. Uncertainty of Sublimation Enthalpy 4.6. Uncertainty of Sublimation Pressure 4.7. Uncertainties of Melting Temperature and 	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Special constants and values used in the paper Coefficients of the Gibbs function as given in Eq. (1) Relations of the thermodynamic properties to the equation for the Gibbs energy for ice, Eq. (1), and its derivatives Equations for the Gibbs energy for ice, Eq. (1), and its derivatives Summary of data used for the determination of the Gibbs function coefficients Selected values reported for the isothermal compressibility κ_T at the normal pressure melting point Summary of estimated combined standard uncertainties of selected quantities in certain	1026 1026 1027 1028 1029 1030
^{a)} Electronic mail: rainer.feistel@io-warnemuende.de © 2006 American Institute of Physics. http://jpcrd.aip.org/resource/1/j	ipcrbu/vi	uncertainties of selected quantities in certain regions of the T - p space, derived from corresponding experiments	1035

0047-2689/2006/35(2)/1021/27/\$40.00

3.

0	and of their differences Δs	1035
9.	Uncertainties u_c of IAPWS-95 specific entropies	1000
10.	s and of their differences Δs Specific Gibbs energy, $g(T, p)$, Eq. (1), in	1036
	$kJkg^{-1}$	1039
11.	Density $\rho(T, p)$. Eq. (4), in kg m ⁻³ .	1040
12.	Specific entropy, $s(T, p)$, Eq. (5), in $I kg^{-1} K^{-1}$.	1041
13.	Specific isobaric heat capacity $c_{-}(T,p)$. Eq. (6),	10.11
10.	in $\mathbf{I}\mathbf{k}\sigma^{-1}\mathbf{K}^{-1}$	1042
14	Specific enthalpy $h(T n)$ Fq. (7) in kIkg ⁻¹	1043
15.	Cubic expansion coefficient, $\alpha(T,p)$, Eq. (7), in Ko Kg	1045
	in 10^{-6} K ⁻¹	1043
16.	Pressure coefficient, $\beta(T,p)$, Eq. (11), in	1044
17	Kran Lastharmal compressibility $u(T, r)$ Eq. (12) in	1044
17.	Isomermai compressionity, $\kappa_T(1,p)$, Eq. (12), in TD ₂ ⁻¹	1044
10		1044
18.	Properties at the triple point and the normal	
	pressure melting point, usable as numerical	
	check values. The numerical functions evaluated	
	here at given points (T,p) are defined in Eq.	
	(1) and Tables 3 and 4	1045
19.	Properties on the melting curve. Differences of	
	specific volumes and enthalpies between liquid	
	water and ice are defined as $\Delta \nu_{melt} = \nu^{L} - \nu$	
	and $\Delta h_{\text{melt}} = h^{\text{L}} - h$. The corresponding	
	differences are $\Delta g = g^{L} - g = 0$ in specific Gibbs	
	energy and therefore $\Delta s_{melt} = s^{L} - s$	
	$=\Delta h_{\text{melt}}/T$ in specific entropy	1045
20.	Properties on the sublimation curve. Differences	
	of specific volumes and enthalpies between	
	water vapor and ice are defined as $\Delta v_{subl} = v^{V}$	
	$-\nu$ and $\Delta h_{\text{subl}} = h^{\text{V}} - h$. The corresponding	
	differences are $\Delta g = g^{V} - g = 0$ in specific Gibbs	
	energy and therefore $\Delta s_{\text{subl}} = s^{\text{V}} - s$	
	$=\Delta h_{\text{subl}}/T$ in specific entropy	1046
	List of Figures	
1.	Phase diagram of liquid water, water vapor, and	
	ice Ih. Adjacent ices II, III, IX, or XI are not	
	considered. Symbols show experimental	
	data points, C: specific isobaric heat capacity,	
	E: cubic expansion coefficient, G: chemical	
	potential, K: isentropic compressibility,	
	<i>V</i> : density	1025
2.	Specific volume ν from Eq. (4) at normal	
	pressure p_0 , panel (a), and deviations	
	$\Delta \nu / \nu = (\nu_{data} - \nu_{calc}) / \nu_{calc}$ at high temperatures	
	magnified in panel (b). Data points are B: Brill	
	and Tippe (1967), D: Dantl and Gregora	
	(1968), G: Ginnings and Corruccini (1947). J:	
	Jakob and Erk (1929). L: Lonsdale (1958). M:	
	Megaw (1934), P: LaPlaca and Post (1960)	
	R: Röttger <i>et al.</i> (1994), T: Truby (1955), and U:	
	Butkovich (1955). Most accurate data are U	
	(estimated uncertainty 0.01%) G (0.005%) and	
	D (0.004%)	1030
	<pre><</pre>	

Uncertainties u_c of absolute specific entropies s

high-temperature part of panel (a) is magnified in panel (b)..... 1030 4. Isentropic compressibilities κ_s from Eq. (13) at normal pressure p_0 , panel (a), and at $-35.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$, panel (b), shown as curves. D: data computed from the correlation functions for elastic moduli of Dantl (1967, 1968, 1969) with about 3% uncertainty shown as lines above and below, P: correspondingly computed data of Proctor (1966) with about 1% uncertainty, L: data of Leadbetter (1965), not used for regression, B: Brockamp and Rüter (1969), M: Gammon et al. (1980, 1983), and G: Gagnon et al. (1988). 1031 5. Specific isobaric heat capacity c_p from Eq. (6) at normal pressure p_0 , panel (a), shown as a curve, and relative deviation of measurements from Eq. (6), $\Delta c_p / c_p = (c_{p,\text{data}} - c_{p,\text{calc}}) / c_{p,\text{calc}}$, panel (b). Data points are: G: Giauque and Stout (1936), F: Flubacher *et al.* (1960), S: Sugisaki et al. (1968), and H: Haida et al. (1974). The estimated experimental uncertainty of 2% is 6. Sublimation curve from the solution of Eq. (16), panel (a), and relative sublimation pressure deviations $\Delta p/p = (p_{\text{data}} - p_{\text{calc}})/p_{\text{calc}}$, panel (b), magnified in the high-temperature range in panel (c). Data points are B: Bryson et al. (1974), D: Douslin and Osborn (1965), J: Jancso et al. (1970), K: Mauersberger and Krankowsky (2003), and M: Marti and Mauersberger (1993). For the fit only data with uncertainties of about 0.1%-0.2% were used for T > 253 K (p > 100 Pa), as shown in panel (c). Curve CC: Clausius-Clapeyron simplified sublimation law, Eq. (18)... 1032 Melting temperature as a function of pressure, 7. computed from Eq. (19), shown as a curve in panel (a), and deviations $\Delta T = T_{data} - T_{calc}$ in comparison to Eq. (19) of this paper, panel

Cubic expansion coefficient α from Eq. (10) at

Röttger *et al.* (1994). Error bars at T>243 K are data with uncertainties reported by Butkovitch (1957), which were used for the regression. The

normal pressure p_0 , shown as a curve. Data points are B: Brill and Tippe (1967), D: Dantl (1962), J: Jakob and Erk (1929), P: LaPlaca and

Post (1960), L: Lonsdale (1958), and R:

in comparison to Eq. (19) of this paper, panel (b). The low-pressure range is magnified in panel (c). Data points are: B: Bridgman (1912a), and H: Henderson and Speedy (1987). Melting curves are labeled by M78: Millero (1978), FH95: Feistel and Hagen (1995), WSP94: Wagner *et al.* (1994), TR98: Tillner-Roth (1998), HS87: Henderson and Speedy (1987), and F03:

J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, Vol. 35, No. 2, 2006

1022

8.

	Feistel (2003). The cone labeled GC47 indicates the 0.02% uncertainty of the Clausius– Clapeyron slope at normal pressure after Ginnings and Corruccini (1947). The intercept of M78 and FH95 at normal pressure is due to the freezing]	11.	Specific entropy $s(T,p_0)$ in J kg ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ at normal pressure, panel (a), and relative to normal pressure, $\Delta s = s(T,p) - s(T,p_0)$, panel (b), for several pressures <i>p</i> as indicated at the curves. Values were computed from Eq. (5)	1041
	temperature of air-saturated water	1033	12.	Specific isobaric heat capacity $c_p(T,p_0)$ in	
8.	Relative combined standard uncertainty of ice			$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$ at normal pressure, panel (a),	
	density, $u_{\rm c}(\rho)/\rho$, Table 7, estimated for different regions of the T n space. No			and relative to normal pressure, $\Delta c_p = c_p(T,p)$	
	experimental high-pressure data are available at			$c_p(1,p_0)$, panel (0), for several pressures <i>p</i> as indicated at the curves. Values were	
	low temperatures.	1035		computed from Eq. (6)	1042
9.	Specific Gibbs energy $g(T,p)$ of ice, i.e., its	1	13.	Specific enthalpy $h(T,p)$ in kJ kg ⁻¹ as a	
	chemical potential, in $kJ kg^{-1}$ as a function			function of temperature for several pressures as	
	of temperature for several pressures as indicated			indicated at the curves. Values were computed	
	at the curves. Values were computed from	1020	1 4	from Eq. (7)	1043
10	Eq. (1)	1039	14.	Cubic expansion coefficient $\alpha(T,p)$ in 10 ° K	
10.	temperature for several pressures as indicated			Values were computed from Eq. (10)	10/13
	at the isobars in panel (a), as a function of pressure	1	15.	Pressure coefficient $\beta(T,p)$ in kPa K ⁻¹ for	1045
	for several temperatures as indicated at the			several pressures as indicated at the curves.	
	isotherms, panel (b), and isochors as functions			Values were computed from Eq. (11)	1044
	of pressure and temperature, belonging to	1	16.	Isothermal compressibility $\kappa_T(T,p)$ in	
	densities as indicated at the curves, panel (c).	10.40		10° MPa ⁻¹ for several pressures as indicated at	1044
	Values were computed from Eq. (4)	1040		the curves. Values were computed from Eq. (12).	1044

List of Symbols

	List of Symbols	
Symbol	Physical Quantity	Unit
<i>C</i> _{<i>p</i>}	Specific isobaric heat capacity of ice	$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
dp_{melt}/dT	Clausius-Clapeyron slope of the melting curve	$Pa K^{-1}$
f	Specific Helmholtz energy of ice	$\mathrm{Jkg^{-1}}$
g, g^{Ih}	Specific Gibbs energy of ice	$\mathrm{Jkg^{-1}}$
g^{L}	Specific Gibbs energy of liquid water	$\mathrm{Jkg^{-1}}$
g^{V}	Specific Gibbs energy of water vapor	$\mathrm{Jkg^{-1}}$
g_0	Residual Gibbs energy, Table 4	$\mathrm{Jkg^{-1}}$
$g_{00} \cdots g_{04}$	Real constants, Table 2	$\rm Jkg^{-1}$
h	Specific enthalpy of ice	$J kg^{-1}$
h^{L}	Specific enthalpy of liquid water	$J kg^{-1}$
h^{V}	Specific enthalpy of water vapor	$J kg^{-1}$
k	Uncertainty coverage factor	-
$K_{\rm GC47}$	Bunsen calorimeter calibration factor of Ginnings and Corruccini (1947)	$\mathrm{Jkg^{-1}}$
М	Molar mass of water, $M = 18.015268 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$ [IAPWS (2005)]	$\mathrm{g}~\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$
р	Pressure	Pa
p_0	Normal pressure, $p_0 = 101325$ Pa	Pa
p_{subl}	Sublimation pressure	Pa
$p_{\text{subl}}^{\text{CC}}$	Clausius-Clapeyron sublimation pressure	Pa
$p_{\rm t}$	Triple point pressure, $p_t = 611.657$ Pa	Pa
R	Specific gas constant, $R = R_{\rm m}/M = 461.52364 \mathrm{J kg^{-1} K^{-1}}$	$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
R _m	Molar gas constant, $R_{\rm m} = 8.314472 \mathrm{J mol^{-1} K^{-1}}$ [Mohr and Taylor (2005)]	$\mathrm{J} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{K}^{-1}$
<i>r</i> ₁	Complex constant, Table 2	$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
<i>r</i> ₂	Complex function, Table 4	$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
$r_{20}r_{22}$	Complex constants, Table 2	$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
S	Specific entropy of ice	$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
s^{L}	Specific entropy of liquid water	$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
s^{V}	Specific entropy of water vapor	$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
<i>s</i> ₀	Residual entropy, Table 2	$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
Т	Absolute temperature (ITS-90)	K
T_0	Celsius zero point, $T_0 = 273.15$ K	K

$T_{\rm melt}$	Melting temperature of ice	Κ
T_{melt, n_0}	Normal pressure melting point, $T_{\text{melt},p_0} = 273.152519 \text{ K}$	К
$T_{\rm t}$	Triple point temperature, $T_t = 273.16$ K	К
t_1, t_2	Complex constants, Table 2	
u	Specific internal energy of ice	$ m Jkg^{-1}$
U	Expanded uncertainty	C
<i>u</i> _c	Combined standard uncertainty	
uĽ	Specific internal energy of liquid water	$ m Jkg^{-1}$
ν	Specific volume of ice	$m^3 kg^{-1}$
$ u^{\mathrm{L}}$	Specific volume of liquid water	$m^3 kg^{-1}$
$ u^{\mathrm{V}}$	Specific volume of water vapor	$m^3 kg^{-1}$
Z	Any complex number	-
α	Cubic expansion coefficient of ice	K^{-1}
β	Pressure coefficient of ice	$Pa K^{-1}$
Δc_p	Specific isobaric heat capacity difference	$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
$\Delta g'$	Specific Gibbs energy difference	$ m J~kg^{-1}$
Δh	Specific enthalpy difference	$\mathrm{Jkg^{-1}}$
$\Delta h_{ m melt}$	Specific melting enthalpy	$\mathrm{Jkg^{-1}}$
$\Delta h_{ m subl}$	Specific sublimation enthalpy	$\mathrm{Jkg^{-1}}$
$\Delta h_{ m t}$	Triple point specific sublimation enthalpy	$\mathrm{Jkg^{-1}}$
Δp	Pressure difference	Pa
Δs	Specific entropy difference	$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
Δs_{melt}	Specific melting entropy	$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
$\Delta s_{ m subl}$	Specific sublimation entropy	$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
ΔT	Temperature difference	Κ
Δu	Specific volume difference	$m^3 kg^{-1}$
$\Delta \nu_{ m melt}$	Specific melting volume	$m^3 kg^{-1}$
$\Delta u_{ m subl}$	Specific sublimation volume	$m^3 kg^{-1}$
Ks	Isentropic compressibility of ice	Pa^{-1}
κ_T	Isothermal compressibility of ice	Pa^{-1}
$\mu^{ m Ih}$	Chemical potential of ice	$\mathrm{Jkg^{-1}}$
π	Pi, $\pi = 3.14159265$	
π	Reduced pressure, $\pi = p/p_t$	
π_0	Reduced normal pressure, $\pi_0 = p_0 / p_t$	
ρ	Density of ice	$\mathrm{kg}\mathrm{m}^{-3}$
$ ho_{ m Hg}$	Density of mercury	$\mathrm{kg}\mathrm{m}^{-3}$
$ ho^{L}_{}$	Density of liquid water	$\mathrm{kg}\mathrm{m}^{-3}$
$ ho^{\vee}$	Density of water vapor	$\mathrm{kg}\mathrm{m}^{-3}$
au	Reduced temperature, $\tau = T/T_t$	
χ	Clausius-Clapeyron coefficient	mK MPa ⁻¹

1. Introduction

The latest development of more comprehensive and more accurate formula for thermodynamic equilibrium properties of seawater in the form of a Gibbs potential function [Feistel (2003)] was based on the current scientific pure-water standard IAPWS-95 [Wagner and Pruß (2002)]. For an adequately advanced description of freezing points of seawater over the natural, "Neptunian" ranges of salinity and pressure, for the consistent description of sublimation pressures over ice and sea ice, as well as for an improved Gibbs potential formulation of sea ice thermodynamics, the development of a reliable Gibbs function of naturally abundant hexagonal ice Ih was desired, valid over a wide range of pressures and temperatures. The new function constructed for that purpose is described in this paper. Presented here is its second, corrected version with an extended data base and a modified set of coefficients, but with identical mathematical structure as its predecessor. The detailed derivation of the first version, its mathematical form, and many details of the fitting procedures employed were reported by Feistel and Wagner (2005) in an earlier paper. Both versions differ only within their ranges of uncertainties except for one quantity, the absolute entropy of liquid water, which is only now reproduced within its uncertainty as reported by Cox *et al.* (1989).

After the extensive and systematic laboratory measurements of ice Ih and other solid water phases by Bridgman (1912a, b, 1935, 1937), various reviews on ice properties and comprehensive presentations thereof were published, as e.g., by Pounder (1965), Dorsey (1968), Fletcher (1970), Franks (1972), Hobbs (1974), Wexler (1977), Yen (1981), Hyland and Wexler (1983), Nagornov and Chizhov (1990), Fukusako (1990), Yen *et al.* (1991), Petrenko (1993), or Petrenko and Whitworth (1999).

The theoretical formalism of classical thermodynamics is, in the strict sense, only valid for equilibrium states. For the case of ice, this means that the thermodynamic potential is designed to describe the ideal structure of a single, undistorted crystal at a state where all possible spontaneous aging processes have passed. These conditions may not always exactly be fulfilled for the experimental data we used. Particularly in the temperature range below 100 K the related theoretical and experimental problems are complicated and still subject to ongoing research. Excessive scatter is observed in measurements of heat capacity and density in the range between 60 and 100 K (see Secs. 3.1. and 3.4.). Results of different works deviate from each other more (up to 0.3% in density) than their particular precisions suggest, so that systematic problems in sample preparations or experimental procedures must be inferred [Dantl and Gregora (1968), Dantl (1967), Dantl (1969), Röttger et al. (1994)]. The relaxation to equilibrium is extremely slow between 85 and 100 K [Giauque and Stout (1936)]. A weak density maximum (about 0.1%) was found at 60-70 K by several authors [Jakob and Erk (1929), Dantl (1962), Röttger et al. (1994), Tanaka (1998)]. A ferroelectric transition at 100 K was proposed first [Dengel et al. (1964), van den Beukel (1968)] but could not be confirmed later [Johari and Jones (1975), Bramwell (1999)]. A phase transition from ice Ih to a perfectly ordered, cubical, denser, and ferroelectric phase XI is supposed to occur between 60 and 100 K [Pitzer and Polissar (1956), Howe and Whitworth (1989), Iedema et al. (1998), Petrenko and Whitworth (1999), Kuo et al. (2001), Kuo et al. (2004), Singer et al. (2005)], thus turning ice Ih into a thermodynamically metastable structure below the threshold temperature. Even though a spontaneous transition Ih-XI of pure ice has not yet been observed experimentally and is unlikely to occur without catalytic acceleration [Pitzer and Polissar (1956), Iedema et al. (1998)], partial reconfigurations, proton ordering processes, or frozen-in transient structures may have influenced the results of experiments | Matsuo et al. (1986), Yamamuro et al. (1987), Johari (1998)].

The Gibbs function derived in this paper ignores the various open questions in the low-temperature region and treats ice Ih like a stable equilibrium phase down to 0 K. This approach is supported by its very good agreement with the entropy difference between 0 K and the normal freezing point (see Sec. 3.5. for details). In consistency with experimental findings of, e.g., Brill and Tippe (1967), it does not exhibit negative thermal expansion coefficients. Adjacent ices II, III, IX, or XI [see e.g. Lobban *et al.* (1998)] are not further considered in the following.

The first proposals to combine ice properties into a Gibbs function were published by Feistel and Hagen (1995), and by Tillner-Roth (1998). Both formulas provide the specific Gibbs energy of ice, g(T,p), in terms of temperature T and pressure p, and are based on only restricted data selections from the vicinity of the melting curve. Feistel and Hagen (1995) had used ice properties as summarized by Yen *et al.*



FIG. 1. Phase diagram of liquid water, water vapor, and ice Ih. Adjacent ices II, III, IX, or XI are not considered. Symbols show experimental data points, C: specific isobaric heat capacity, E: cubic expansion coefficient, G: chemical potential, K: isentropic compressibility, V: density.

(1991), expressed in lowest order polynomials of temperature and pressure near the melting point at normal pressure, later improved by Feistel (2003) for higher pressures using the melting point equation of Wagner *et al.* (1994). Tillner-Roth (1998) used the latter equation together with selected ice properties along the entire melting curve up to the triple point ice I–III–liquid, which is at about 210 MPa and $-22 \,^{\circ}C$ (Fig. 1).

The new formulation presented in this paper improves the previously existing Gibbs functions of ice by additionally including more suitable, theoretical, as well as measured, available ice properties, covering its entire existence region in the temperature-pressure diagram. With very few exceptions, these data are restricted to only three curves in the T-pdiagram, the sublimation and melting curves, and the normal pressure line (Fig. 1). They have been measured during the past 100 years and are scattered over various publications from cloud physics to geology. No experimental data were available to the authors for the region of high pressures at low temperatures. The new Gibbs potential provides reasonable values for that area, but no uncertainty estimates can be given. All temperature values of the measurements used were converted to the ITS-90 temperature scale. A list of some general constants and values is given in Table 1 for reference.

Attached in parentheses to the given values, estimated combined standard uncertainties u_c are reported [ISO (1993a)], from which by multiplying with the coverage factor k=2 expanded uncertainties U can be obtained, corresponding to a 95% level of confidence. The short notion "uncertainty" used in this paper refers to combined standard uncertainties or to relative combined standard uncertainties, if not stated otherwise.

Quantity	Symbol	Value	Unit	Uncertainty	Source
Triple point pressure	$p_{\rm t}$	611.657	Ра	0.010	Guildner et al. (1976)
Normal pressure	p_0	101325	Pa	exact	ISO (1993b)
Triple point temperature	T_{t}	273.160	Κ	exact	Preston-Thomas (1990)
Celsius zero point	T_0	273.150	Κ	exact	Preston-Thomas (1990)
Normal melting point	T_{melt,p_0}	273.152 519	Κ	2×10^{-6}	This paper

TABLE 1. Special constants and values used in the paper

2. The New Equation of State (Gibbs Potential Function)

The thermodynamic Gibbs potential function $g^{\text{lh}}(T,p)$ is the specific Gibbs energy of ice Ih, which is equal to the chemical potential $\mu^{\text{lh}}(T,p)$ of ice, given in mass units. In the following, for simplicity we will generally suppress the superscript "Ih" for ice properties. We express absolute temperature *T* by a dimensionless variable, the reduced temperature $\tau = T/T_t$ with triple point temperature T_t , and absolute pressure *p* by reduced pressure $\pi = p/p_t$, with triple point pressure p_t .

The functional form of g(T,p) for ice Ih is given by Eq. (1) as a function of temperature, with two of its coefficients being polynomials of pressure,

$$g(T,p) = g_0 - s_0 T_t \cdot \tau + T_t \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{2} r_k \bigg[(t_k - \tau) \ln(t_k - \tau) + (t_k + \tau) \ln(t_k + \tau) - 2t_k \ln t_k - \frac{\tau^2}{t_k} \bigg],$$

$$g_0(p) = \sum_{k=0}^{4} g_{0k} \cdot (\pi - \pi_0)^k, \qquad (1)$$

$$r_2(p) = \sum_{k=0}^{2} r_{2k} \cdot (\pi - \pi_0)^k.$$

The dimensionless normal pressure is $\pi_0 = p_0/p_t$. The real constants $g_{00}-g_{04}$ and s_0 as well as the complex constants t_1 , r_1 , t_2 , and $r_{20}-r_{22}$ are given in Table 2. This list of 18 parameters contains two redundant ones which formally ap-

peared during the transformation of six originally real parameters describing heat capacity into four complex numbers [Feistel and Wagner (2005)].

The complex logarithm $\ln(z)$ is meant as the principal value, i.e., it evaluates to imaginary parts in the interval $-\pi < \text{Im}[\ln(z)] \le +\pi$ (the number Pi, $\pi = 3.1415...$, in this inequality is not to be confused with the symbol of reduced pressure). The complex notation used here has no direct physical reasons but serves for the convenience of analytical partial derivatives and for compactness of the resulting formulas, especially in program code. Complex data types are supported by scientific computer languages like Fortran or C++, thus allowing an immediate implementation of the formulas given, without the need for prior conversion to much more complicated real functions, or for experience in complex calculus.

The residual entropy coefficient s_0 is given in Table 2 in the form of two alternative values, its "IAPWS-95" version is required for phase equilibria studies between ice and fluid water in the IAPWS-95 formulation [Wagner and Pruß (2002)], or seawater [Feistel (2003)], while its "absolute" version represents the true physical zero-point entropy of ice [Pauling (1935), Nagle (1966)]:

"IAPWS-95" reference state [Wagner and Pruß (2002)]:

$$u^{\rm L}(T_{\rm t}, p_{\rm t}) = 0 \quad {\rm J\,kg^{-1}},$$

$$s^{\rm L}(T_{\rm t}, p_{\rm t}) = 0 \quad {\rm J\,kg^{-1}\,K^{-1}}, \qquad (2)$$

"Absolute" reference state:

$$g(0,p_0) = -632\ 020.233\ 449\ 497\ \mathrm{J}\,\mathrm{kg}^{-1},$$

$$s(0,p_0) = 189.13\ \mathrm{J}\,\mathrm{kg}^{-1}\,\mathrm{K}^{-1}.$$
(3)

1	ABLE 2.	Coefficients	of th	ie Gibt	os function	as giv	en in	Eq. (1)	

Coefficient	Real part	Imaginary part	Unit
800	- 632 020.233 449 497		$J kg^{-1}$
801	0.655 022 213 658 955		$J kg^{-1}$
802	-1.893 699 293 261 31E-08		$J kg^{-1}$
803	3.397 461 232 710 53E-15		$J kg^{-1}$
g 04	-5.564 648 690 589 91E-22		$J kg^{-1}$
s_0 (absolute)	189.13		$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
s_0 (IAPWS-95)	- 3327.337 564 921 68		$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
t_1	3.680 171 128 550 51E-02	5.108 781 149 595 72E-02	-
r_1	44.705 071 628 5388	65.687 684 746 3481	$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
t_2	0.337 315 741 065 416	0.335 449 415 919 309	C
r_{20}	- 72.597 457 432 922	-78.100 842 711 287	$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
r ₂₁	-5.571 076 980 301 23E-05	4.645 786 345 808 06E-05	$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
r_{22}	2.348 014 092 159 13E-11	-2.856 511 429 049 72E-11	$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
			-

Property	Relation	Unit	Eq.
Density			
$\rho(T,p) = \nu^{-1} = (\partial g / \partial p)_T^{-1}$	$\rho(T,p) = g_p^{-1}$	kg m ⁻³	(4)
Specific entropy	F		
$s(T,p) = -\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial T}\right)_p$	$s(T,p) = -g_T$	$ m J \ kg^{-1} \ K^{-1}$	(5)
Specific isobaric heat capacity			
$c_p(T,p) = T(\partial s/\partial T)_p$	$c_p(T,p) = -Tg_{TT}$	$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$	(6)
Specific enthalpy			
h(T,p) = g + Ts	$h(T,p) = g - Tg_T$	$J kg^{-1}$	(7)
Specific internal energy			
$u(T,p) = g + Ts - p\nu$	$u(T,p) = g - Tg_T - pg_p$	$J kg^{-1}$	(8)
Specific Helmholtz energy			
$f(T,p) = g - p \nu$	$f(T,p) = g - pg_p$	$J kg^{-1}$	(9)
Cubic expansion coefficient			
$\alpha(T,p) = \nu^{-1} (\partial \nu / \partial T)_p$	$\alpha(T,p) = g_{Tp} / g_p$	K^{-1}	(10)
Pressure coefficient			
$\beta(T,p) = (\partial p / \partial T)_{\nu}$	$\beta(T,p) = -g_{Tp}/g_{pp}$	$Pa K^{-1}$	(11)
Isothermal compressibility			
$\kappa_T(T,p) = -\nu^{-1}(\partial \nu/\partial p)_T$	$\kappa_T(T,p) = -g_{pp}/g_p$	Pa^{-1}	(12)
Isentropic compressibility			
$\kappa_s(T,p) = -\nu^{-1}(\partial \nu/\partial p)_s$	$\kappa_s(T,p) = (g_{Tp}^2 - g_{TT}g_{pp})/(g_pg_{TT})$	Pa^{-1}	(13)
^a $g_T \equiv \left[\frac{\partial g}{\partial T}\right]_p$, $g_p \equiv \left[\frac{\partial g}{\partial p}\right]_T$, $g_{TT} \equiv$	$= \left[\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial T^2}\right]_p, g_{Tp} = \left[\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial T \partial p}\right], g_{pp} = \left[\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial p^2}\right]_T$		

TABLE 3. Relations of the thermodynamic properties to the equation for the Gibbs energy for ice, Eq. (1), and its derivatives^a

Superscript L indicates the liquid phase. The property u is the specific internal energy [Eq. (8)]. The theoretical absolute value for the internal energy is given by the relativistic rest energy, a very large number on the order of $10^{17} \text{ J kg}^{-1}$, which is too impractical to be adopted here. Thus, to conveniently specify g_{00} , the second free constant of the reference state defined by Eq. (3), the value of g at zero temperature and normal pressure is chosen here for simplicity to be the same for both reference states.

A collection of the most important relations of the thermodynamic properties to the equation for the Gibbs energy for ice is given in Table 3.

Various properties of ice Ih can be computed by means of partial derivatives of the Gibbs energy. A list of all partial derivatives of g up to second order with respect to the independent variables p and T is given in Table 4.

The Gibbs potential function, Eq. (1), has a compact mathematical structure which is capable of covering the entire range of existence of ice Ih between 0 and 273.16 K and 0 and 211 MPa. It uses 16 free parameters; 14 of them were determined by regression with respect to 522 data points belonging to 32 different groups of measurements (Table 5), the remaining two parameters are subject to the IAPWS-95 definition of internal energy and entropy of liquid water at the triple point, or alternatively, to the physically determined zero point residual entropy, Eqs. (2) or (3). The majority of the measured thermodynamic equilibrium properties are described by the new formulation within their experimental uncertainties (see Table 5). Details on the representation of the experimental data are given in Sec. 3. Additionally, the cubic law of Debye for the heat capacity at low temperatures as well as the pressure independence of residual entropy are intrinsic properties of the potential function.

3. Comparison with Experiments

Of the various experimentally determined ice properties only a representative selection can be discussed here, including density, specific isobaric heat capacity, and cubic expansion coefficient at normal pressure, isentropic compressibility, as well as melting and sublimation pressures. For more details we refer the reader to the paper of Feistel and Wagner (2005).

3.1. Density

Specific volume, ν , i.e., the reciprocal of density, ρ , is derived from the potential function, Eq. (1), by its pressure derivative, Eq. (4), as given in Table 3. This equation leads to a T^4 law for first low-temperature corrections with respect to density at 0 K, in agreement with theory [Landau and Lifschitz (1966)].

The density of ice has practically been determined in very different ways, e.g., by calorimetric [Ginnings and Corruccini (1947)], mechanical [Jacob and Erk (1929)], acoustical [Dantl and Gregora (1968)], optical [Gagnon *et al.* (1988)], x-ray [Brill and Tippe (1967)] or nuclear methods [Röttger *et al.* (1994)]. Measurements of different authors often typically deviate from each other by up to about 0.3% (Fig. 2) even though the uncertainty of the particular series claimed by the experimenter may be about 0.04% [Dantl and Gregora (1968)]. A possible cause of this systematic scatter could be

Equation for the Gibbs energy $g(T,p)$ and its derivatives ^a	Unit
$g(T,p) = g_0 - s_0 T_t \tau + T_t \cdot \operatorname{Re}\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^2 r_k \left[(t_k - \tau) \ln(t_k - \tau) + (t_k + \tau) \ln(t_k + \tau) - 2t_k \ln(t_k) - \frac{\tau^2}{t_k} \right] \right\}$	$J kg^{-1}$
with $\tau = T/T_t$, $\pi = p/p_t$, $T_t = 273.16$ K, $p_t = 611.657$ Pa, $g_0(p)$, $r_2(p)$ $g_T = -s_0 + \text{Re}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{2} r_k \left[-\ln(t_k - \tau) + \ln(t_k + \tau) - 2\frac{\tau}{t_k}\right]\right\}$	$\mathrm{J}\mathrm{kg}^{-1}\mathrm{K}^{-1}$
$g_p = g_{0,p} + T_t \operatorname{Re} \left\{ r_{2,p} \left[(t_2 - \tau) \ln(t_2 - \tau) + (t_2 + \tau) \ln(t_2 + \tau) - 2t_2 \ln(t_2) - \frac{\tau^2}{t_0} \right] \right\}$	$m^3 kg^{-1}$
$g_{TT} = \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{Re} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{2} r_{k} \left(\frac{1}{r_{k}} + \frac{1}{r_{k}} - \frac{2}{r_{k}} \right) \right]$	$J~kg^{-1}~K^{-2}$
$r_{t} \left[\frac{1}{k-\tau} \left\{ \left\{ l_{k} - \tau - l_{k} + \tau - l_{k} \right\} \right\} \right]$ $g_{Tp} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ r_{2,p} \left[-\ln(t_{2} - \tau) + \ln(t_{2} + \tau) - 2\frac{\tau}{\tau} \right] \right\}$	$m^3 kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
$g_{pp} = g_{0,pp} + T_{t} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ r_{2,pp} \left[(t_{2} - \tau) \ln(t_{2} - \tau) + (t_{2} + \tau) \ln(t_{2} + \tau) - 2t_{2} \ln(t_{2}) - \frac{\tau^{2}}{t_{2}} \right] \right\}$	$m^3 kg^{-1} Pa^{-1}$
$g_0(p)$ equation and its derivatives ^b Unit $r_2(p)$ equation and its derivatives ^b	Unit
$g_0(p) = \sum_{k=0}^{4} g_{0k} (\pi - \pi_0)^k \qquad \qquad J \text{ kg}^{-1} \qquad r_2(p) = \sum_{k=0}^{2} r_{2k} (\pi - \pi_0)^k$	$\rm J~kg^{-1}~K^{-1}$
with $\pi_{0} = \frac{p_{0}}{p_{t}} = \frac{101\ 325\ \text{Pa}}{611.657\ \text{Pa}} \qquad $	$m^3 kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
$g_{0,pp} = \sum_{k=2}^{4} g_{0k} \frac{k(k-1)}{p_t^2} (\pi - \pi_0)^{k-2} r_{2,pp} = r_{22} \frac{2}{p_t^2}$	$m^3 kg^{-1} Pa^{-1} K^{-1}$
${}^{a} g_{T} = \left[\frac{\partial g}{\partial T}\right]_{p}, g_{p} = \left[\frac{\partial g}{\partial p}\right]_{T}, g_{TT} = \left[\frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial T^{2}}\right]_{p}, g_{Tp} = \left[\frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial T \partial p}\right], g_{pp} = \left[\frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial p^{2}}\right]_{T}$	
^b $g_{0,p} \equiv \left[\frac{\partial g_0}{\partial p}\right]_T$, $g_{0,pp} \equiv \left[\frac{\partial^2 g_0}{\partial p^2}\right]_T$, $r_{2,p} \equiv \left[\frac{\partial r_2}{\partial p}\right]_T$, $r_{2,pp} \equiv \left[\frac{\partial^2 r_2}{\partial p^2}\right]_T$	

the density lowering effect of aging on ice crystals, which is of the same order of magnitude, another could be the very slow relaxation to equilibrium as observed by Giauque and Stout (1936). The densities 916.71(05) kg m⁻³ of Ginnings and Corruccini (1947) and 916.80(04) kg m⁻³ of Dantl and Gregora (1968) are considered the most accurate determinations at normal pressure and 0 °C. The density maxima found by Jacob and Erk (1929), Dantl (1962), and Röttger *et al.* (1994) are located in the range of enhanced uncertainty between 60 and 90 K (Fig. 2), close to 72 K where a phase transition of ice Ih to the higher ordered ice XI is supposed to occur [Howe and Whitworth (1989), Petrenko and Whitworth (1999)].

3.2. Cubic Expansion Coefficient

The cubic expansion coefficient, α , is obtained from specific volume and its temperature derivative, Eq. (10), as given in Table 3. At very low temperatures, $\alpha(T)$ follows a cubic law like heat capacity, thus obeying Grüneisen's theoretically confirmed T^3 law in this limit. Several experiments have shown that linear thermal expansion of ice is isotropic in very good approximation.

Experimental data for α are often derived from the relative change of lattice parameters, and they scatter significantly (Fig. 3). Several findings like those of Jakob and Erk (1929) are apparently not consistent with the Grüneisen limiting law, which predicts vanishing thermal expansion at 0 K with cubic first deviations. The similar results obtained by Röttger et al. (1994) are computed here at the temperatures of their measurements from their density polynomial $\rho(T)$ with new coefficients $[A_0=128.2147, A_1=0, A_2=0, A_3=-1.3152E]$ -6, $A_4 = 2.4837E - 8$, $A_5 = -1.6064E - 10$, $A_6 = 4.6097E$ -13, $A_7 = -4.9661E - 16$ (W. F. Kuhs, private communication)], improved with respect to the published ones. Although their polynomial for the cubic expansion coefficient is correctly constrained to approach zero at 0 K, its leading quadratic term is not consistent with the required cubic limiting law. Data like those of Lonsdale (1958) are evidently erratic. The very accurate data set of Butkovich (1957) with

EQUATION OF STATE FOR H₂O ICE IH

TABLE 5. Summar	ry of data used	for the determination	of the Gib	bs function coefficient
-----------------	-----------------	-----------------------	------------	-------------------------

	a ³	T	<i>p</i>	No. of	Required ^b	Resulting ^c
Quantity	Source"	(K)	(MPa)	data	rms	rms
g	B12	251-273	10-210	15	$1113 \mathrm{J kg^{-1}}$	222 J kg^{-1}
g	HS87	259-273	5-147	6	$500 \mathrm{J kg^{-1}}$	48 J kg^{-1}
g	JPH70	257-273	0.0001 - 0.0006	45	$139 \mathrm{J kg^{-1}}$	132 J kg^{-1}
g	DO65	257-273	0.0001 - 0.0006	6	$86 \mathrm{J kg^{-1}}$	175 J kg^{-1}
dp_{melt}/dT	GC47	273	0.1	1	$3 \text{ kPa } \text{K}^{-1}$	1.4 kPa K^{-1}
dp_{melt}/dT	D05	273	0.1	1	$7 \text{ kPa } \text{K}^{-1}$	4.6 kPa K^{-1}
dp_{melt}/dT	G13	273	0.1	1	11 kPa K ⁻¹	10.8 kPa K ⁻¹
S	GS36	273	0.1	1	$0.8 \mathrm{J kg^{-1} K^{-1}}$	$0.17 \mathrm{Jkg^{-1}K^{-1}}$
S	O39	273	0.1	1	$0.7 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$	$0.39 \mathrm{J kg^{-1} K^{-1}}$
S	HMSS74	273	0.1	1	$0.7 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$	$0.05 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$
S	CWM89	298	0.1	1	$1.7 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$	$0.8 \mathrm{J kg^{-1} K^{-1}}$
C_{p}	GS36	16-268	0.1	61	relative 2%	relative 0.88%
c_p	FLM60	2-27	0.1	59	relative 2%	relative 3.0%
c_p	HMSS74	13-268	0.1	160	relative 2%	relative 0.6%
ν	LP60	93-263	0.1	10	$1 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$	$0.91 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$
ν	BT67	13-193	0.1	10	$0.3 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$	$0.52 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$
ν	M34	273	0.1	1	$0.84 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$	$0.29 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$
ν	T55	227	0.1	1	$0.37 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$	$1.1 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$
ν	B55	268 - 270	0.1	28	$0.2 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$	$0.12 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$
ν	DG68	273	0.1	1	$0.04 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$	$0.093 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$
ν	JE29	20-273	0.1	34	$0.5 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$	$0.57 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$
ν	REIDK94	17-265	0.1	19	$1 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$	$0.34 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$
ν	B35	251-273	0.1-211	6	$10 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$	$12 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$
ν	GKCW88	238	0.1 - 201	5	$1 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$	$1.4 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$
$(\partial \nu / \partial T)_p$	B57	243-273	0.1	7	$2 \text{ mm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$	$1.9 \text{ mm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$
ĸ	D67	133-273	0.1	15	4 TPa^{-1}	3.4 TPa^{-1}
κ_s	P66	60-110	0.1	6	1 TPa^{-1}	$0.46 \mathrm{TPa}^{-1}$
κ_s	BR69	253	0.1	1	8 TPa^{-1}	6.5 TPa^{-1}
ĸ	GKC80	257-270	0.1	3	0.7 TPa^{-1}	1.1 TPa ⁻¹
Ks	GKCW88	238-268	0.1	7	0.7 TPa^{-1}	0.57 TPa^{-1}
ĸ	GKCW88	238	0.1-201	5	0.7 TPa^{-1}	$0.39 {\rm TPa^{-1}}$
$(\partial \kappa_s / \partial p)_T$	BR69	253-268	0.1	4	500 TPa ⁻²	553 TPa ⁻²

^aB12: Bridgman (1912a), B35: Bridgman (1912a, 1935), B55: Butkovich (1955), B57: Butkovich (1957), BR69: Brockamp and Rüter (1969), BT67: Brill and Tippe (1967), CWM89: Cox *et al.* (1989), D05: Dieterici (1905), D67: Dantl (1967), DG68: Dantl and Gregora (1968), DO65: Douslin and Osborn (1965), FLM60: Flubacher *et al.* (1960), G13: Griffiths (1913), GC47: Ginnings and Corruccini (1947), GKC80: Gammon *et al.* (1988), GS36: Giauque and Stout (1936), HMSS74: Haida *et al.* (1974), HS87: Henderson and Speedy (1987), JE29: Jakob and Erk (1929), JPH70: Jancso *et al.* (1970), LP60: LaPlaca and Post (1960), M34: Megaw (1934), O39: Osborne (1939), P66: Proctor (1966), REIDK94: Röttger *et al.* (1994), T55: Truby (1955).

^bRoot mean square deviation (rms) prescribed for the least-square expression of the particular data set, used for the weight of the corresponding target function. 1 TPa equals 10¹² Pa.

^cThe returned rms of the fit.

only about 1% uncertainty, measured mechanically at various ice structures above -30 °C, is the only one which we used for the regression, and is in very good agreement (1%) with the current formulation.

3.3. Isothermal and Isentropic Compressibility

Isothermal compressibility of ice, κ_T , is obtained from specific volume and its partial pressure derivative, Eq. (12), as given in Table 3. As shown in Table 6, experimental data for κ_T at 0 °C and normal pressure vary between, e.g., 360 TPa⁻¹ [Bridgman (1912a)] and 120 TPa⁻¹ [Richards and Speyers (1914)], and this significant uncertainty remains in more recent reviews of ice properties [Dorsey (1968), Yen *et al.* (1991)]. The former Gibbs potential of Feistel and Hagen (1995) adopted the value 232 TPa^{-1} from Yen (1981), that of Tillner-Roth (1998), however, used the value 112 TPa^{-1} .

More reliable values are available for the isentropic compressibility, Eq. (13),

$$\kappa_s = -\frac{1}{\nu} \left(\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial p} \right)_s = \kappa_T - \frac{\alpha^2 T \nu}{c_p}, \tag{14}$$

which can be computed from the elastic moduli of the ice lattice [see Feistel and Wagner (2005) for details]. The elastic moduli are determined acoustically or optically with high accuracy. Data at normal pressure computed from elastic constants of Dantl (1967) with uncertainties of 3%, Proctor (1966) with 1%, Brockamp and Rüter (1969) with 8%, and of Gammon *et al.* (1980) and Gagnon *et al.* (1988) with un-



FIG. 2. Specific volume ν from Eq. (4) at normal pressure p_0 , panel (a), and deviations $\Delta \nu/\nu = (\nu_{data} - \nu_{calc})/\nu_{calc}$ at high temperatures magnified in panel (b). Data points are B: Brill and Tippe (1967), D: Dantl and Gregora (1968), G: Ginnings and Corruccini (1947), J: Jakob and Erk (1929), L: Lonsdale (1958), M: Megaw (1934), P: LaPlaca and Post (1960), R: Röttger *et al.* (1994), T: Truby (1955), and U: Butkovich (1955). Most accurate data are U (estimated uncertainty 0.01%), G (0.005%), and D (0.004%).

certainties below 1% are reproduced by the current formulation within their bounds over the temperature interval 60– 273 K, as are high-pressure data of Gagnon *et al.* (1988) at -35 °C between 0.1 and 200 MPa (Fig. 4).

3.4. Specific Isobaric Heat Capacity

Compared to many other solids, the heat capacity of ice Ih behaves anomalously. It follows Debye's cubic law in the zero temperature limit, but at higher temperatures it violates the empirical Grüneisen law which states that the ratio of isobaric heat capacity and isobaric thermal expansion is independent of temperature. Near the melting temperature, most crystalline solids possess a constant heat capacity, but this rule does not apply to ice. Isobaric heat capacities were



FIG. 3. Cubic expansion coefficient α from Eq. (10) at normal pressure p_0 , shown as a curve. Data points are B: Brill and Tippe (1967), D: Dantl (1962), J: Jakob and Erk (1929), P: LaPlaca and Post (1960), L: Lonsdale (1958), and R: Röttger *et al.* (1994). Error bars at T > 243 K are data with uncertainties reported by Butkovitch (1957), which were used for the regression. The high-temperature part of panel (a) is magnified in panel (b).

TABLE 6. Selected values reported for the isothermal compressibility κ_T at the normal pressure melting point

Source	(TPa^{-1})
Bridgman (1912a)	360
Richards and Speyers (1914)	120
Franks (1972)	123
Hobbs (1974)	104
Wexler (1977)	134
Yen (1981), Yen et al. (1991)	232
Henderson and Speedy (1987)	98 ^a
Wagner et al. (1994)	190 ^a
Tillner-Roth (1998)	112
Marion and Jakubowski (2004)	140
This paper	118

^aValue estimated from the curvature of the melting curve.



FIG. 4. Isentropic compressibilities κ_s from Eq. (13) at normal pressure p_0 , panel (a), and at -35.5 °C, panel (b), shown as curves. D: data computed from the correlation functions for elastic moduli of Dantl (1967, 1968, 1969) with about 3% uncertainty shown as lines above and below, P: correspondingly computed data of Proctor (1966) with about 1% uncertainty, L: data of Leadbetter (1965), not used for regression, B: Brockamp and Rüter (1969), M: Gammon *et al.* (1980, 1983), and G: Gagnon *et al.* (1988).

measured at normal pressure by several authors [Giauque and Stout (1936), Flubacher *et al.* (1960), Sugisaki *et al.* (1968), Haida *et al.* (1974)]; all their results agree very well within their typical experimental uncertainties of about 2% (Fig. 5).

The second temperature derivative of the Gibbs potential provides the formula for the specific isobaric heat capacity, c_p , Eq. (6), as given in Table 3. At very low temperatures, $c_p(T)$ converges toward Debye's cubic law as

$$\lim_{T \to 0} \frac{c_p}{T^3} = 0.0091 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-4}, \qquad (15)$$

which is in good agreement (2%) with the corresponding limiting law coefficient $\lim_{T\to 0} (c_p/T^3) = 0.0093 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-4}$ de-

rived by Flubacher et al. (1960) from their experiment. The



FIG. 5. Specific isobaric heat capacity c_p from Eq. (6) at normal pressure p_0 , panel (a), shown as a curve, and relative deviation of measurements from Eq. (6), $\Delta c_p/c_p = (c_{p,\text{data}} - c_{p,\text{calc}})/c_{p,\text{calc}}$, panel (b). Data points are: G: Giauque and Stout (1936), F: Flubacher *et al.* (1960), S: Sugisaki *et al.* (1968), and H: Haida *et al.* (1974). The estimated experimental uncertainty of 2% is marked by solid lines.

equation for c_p properly describes the experimental data within their uncertainty range over the entire temperature interval (Fig. 5). With this new formulation, heat capacities can be computed for arbitrary pressures, which are not available from experiments.

3.5. Specific Entropy

Classical thermodynamics defines entropy by heat exchange processes. This way, only entropy differences can be measured for a given substance, thus leaving absolute entropy undefined and requiring an additional reference value like the Third Law. For this reason, the IAPWS-95 formulation specifies entropy to vanish for liquid water at the triple point. Statistical thermodynamics, however, defines entropy theoretically and permits its absolute determination. For water vapor this was done by Gordon (1934) from spectroscopic data at 298.1 K and normal pressure, resulting in the specific entropy of vapor $s^{V}=45.101 \text{ cal deg}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ = 10 476 J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹. The latest such value, reported by Cox *et al.* (1989), is $s^{L}=69.95(3) \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}=3883(2)$ J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹ for the absolute entropy of liquid water at 298.15 K and 0.1 MPa, which coincides very well with s^{L} = 3883.7 J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹, as computed using the formulation of this paper, Eq. (5).

For the ice Ih crystal a theoretical residual entropy $s(0,p) = 189.13(5) \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ was calculated by Pauling (1935) and Nagle (1966) from the remaining randomness of hydrogen bonds at 0 K. This value is highly consistent with Gordon's (1934) vapor entropy, as Haida et al. (1974) confirmed experimentally with s(0,p) = 189.3(10.6) $J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$ [Petrenko and Whitworth (1999)]. The theoretical residual ice entropy leads to a nonzero physical entropy of liquid water at the triple point as $s^{L}(T_{t}, p_{t}) = 3516(2)$ J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹, while the IAPWS-95 entropy definition for liquid water requires the residual entropy of ice to be s(0,p)= -3327(2) J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹. Both versions are equally correct, but the latter value has to be used instead of the absolute one if phase equilibria between ice and fluid water are studied in conjunction with the IAPWS-95 formulation. Evidently, however, both versions differ in their uncertainties due to the different reference points.

Specific entropy s is computed as temperature derivative, Eq. (5), of specific Gibbs energy, Eq. (1), as given in Table 3. Note that in this formulation entropy at 0 K is a pressureindependent constant, in accordance with theory.

At the normal melting temperature $T_{\text{melt},p_0} = 273.152519 \text{ K}$ (see Sec. 3.7.), the entropy of ice *s* can be computed from the entropy of water s^{L} , given by the IAPWS-95 formulation, and the experimental melting enthalpies $\Delta h_{\text{melt}} = T_{\text{melt}} \cdot (s^{\text{L}} - s)$ of Giauque and Stout (1936), $\Delta h_{\text{melt}} = 333.49(20) \text{ kJ kg}^{-1}$ and $\Delta h_{\text{melt}} = 333.42(20) \text{ kJ kg}^{-1}$, of Osborne (1939), $\Delta h_{\text{melt}} = 333.54(20) \text{ kJ kg}^{-1}$, or of Haida *et al.* (1974), $\Delta h_{\text{melt}} = 333.41 \text{ kJ kg}^{-1}$. The melting enthalpy at T_{melt,p_0} resulting from Eq. (7) is $\Delta h_{\text{melt}} = 333.43 \text{ kJ kg}^{-1}$ and agrees well with those data.

3.6. Sublimation Curve

From the equality of the chemical potentials of the solid and the gas phase,

$$g(T, p_{\text{subl}}) = g^{V}(T, p_{\text{subl}}), \qquad (16)$$

the sublimation pressure $p_{subl}(T)$ can be obtained numerically, e.g., by Newton iteration, from Eq. (1) for ice and the IAPWS-95 formulation for vapor. Sublimation pressure measurements, available between 130 and 273.16 K, corresponding to 9 orders of magnitude in pressure from 200 nPa to 611 Pa, are described by the current formulation well within their experimental uncertainties (Fig. 6).

The Clausius-Clapeyron differential equation,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{subl}}}{\mathrm{d}T} = \frac{s - s^{\vee}}{1/\rho - 1/\rho^{\vee}},\tag{17}$$



FIG. 6. Sublimation curve from the solution of Eq. (16), panel (a), and relative sublimation pressure deviations $\Delta p/p = (p_{data} - p_{calc})/p_{calc}$, panel (b), magnified in the high-temperature range in panel (c). Data points are B: Bryson *et al.* (1974), D: Douslin and Osborn (1965), J: Jancso *et al.* (1970), K: Mauersberger and Krankowsky (2003), and M: Marti and Mauersberger (1993). For the fit only data with uncertainties of about 0.1%–0.2% were used for T > 253 K (p > 100 Pa), as shown in panel (c). Curve CC: Clausius–Clapeyron simplified sublimation law, Eq. (18).

which can be derived from Eq. (16), can be integrated in lowest order approximation, starting from the triple point (T_t, p_t) , under the assumptions of constant sublimation enthalpy, $\Delta h_{subl} = T \cdot (s^{V} - s) \approx \Delta h_t = 2834.4 \text{ kJ kg}^{-1}$, the triple point value of this formulation, and negligible ice specific volume compared to that of the ideal gas (see Table 20 in the Appendix). The result is usually called the Clausius–Clapeyron sublimation law,

$$p_{\text{subl}}^{\text{CC}}(T) = p_{t} \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{\Delta h_{t}}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T_{t}} - \frac{1}{T}\right)\right\}.$$
 (18)

 $R = 461.523 64 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ is the specific gas constant. The deviation between this very simple law, Eq. (18), and the correct sublimation pressure of this formulation, Eq. (16), is often smaller than the scatter of experimental sublimation pressure data (Fig. 6). Other, more complex sublimation formulas are in even much better agreement with the current one, like those of Jancso *et al.* (1970) for T > 130 K, of Wagner et al. (1994) for T>150 K, or of Murphy and Koop (2005) for T > 130 K, which remain below 0.01% deviation in sublimation pressure in those temperature regions. Thus, present experimental sublimation pressure data hardly provide a suitable means for assessing the accuracy of those formulas. Sublimation enthalpy Δh_{subl} , as derived from IAPWS-95 and the current thermodynamic potential, is almost constant over a wide range of pressures and temperatures; it increases to a maximum of $\Delta h_{subl} = 2838.8 \text{ kJ kg}^{-1}$ at 240 K and decreases again to $\Delta h_{\text{subl}} = 2810.4 \text{ kJ kg}^{-1}$ at 150 K (Table 20), thus justifying the success of the simple equation, Eq. (18).

3.7. Melting Curve

The melting pressure equation of Wagner *et al.* (1994) describes the entire phase boundary between liquid water and ice Ih with an uncertainty of 3% in melting pressure. On the other hand, the freezing temperature of water and seawater derived by Feistel (2003) is more accurate at low pressures but invalid at very high pressures. The formulation given in this paper takes the benefits of both formulas, i.e., it provides the most accurate melting temperature at normal pressure and reproduces the measurements of Henderson and Speedy (1987) with 50 mK mean deviation up to 150 MPa (Fig. 7).

Melting temperature T_{melt} of ice at given pressure p is given by equal chemical potentials of the solid and the liquid phase,

$$g(T_{\text{melt}}, p) = g^{\text{L}}(T_{\text{melt}}, p), \qquad (19)$$

from Eq. (1) for ice and the IAPWS-95 formulation for water. From Eq. (19), the melting temperature can be obtained numerically.

Ginnings and Corruccini (1947) measured the volume change of a water-ice mixture when heating it electrically. They determined their Bunsen calorimeter calibration factor K_{GC47} to be

$$K_{\rm GC47} = \frac{\Delta h_{\rm melt}}{(1/\rho - 1/\rho^{\rm L})\rho_{\rm Hg}} = 270\,415(60) \,\,\mathrm{J\,kg^{-1}}$$
(20)

and used it for accurate ice density determination by means of melting enthalpy Δh_{melt} , liquid water density ρ^{L} , and mercury density ρ_{Hg} . This way, the uncertainty of ice den-



FIG. 7. Melting temperature as a function of pressure, computed from Eq. (19), shown as a curve in panel (a), and deviations $\Delta T = T_{data} - T_{calc}$ in comparison to Eq. (19) of this paper, panel (b). The low-pressure range is magnified in panel (c). Data points are: B: Bridgman (1912a), and H: Henderson and Speedy (1987). Melting curves are labeled by M78: Millero (1978), FH95: Feistel and Hagen (1995), WSP94: Wagner *et al.* (1994), TR98: Tillner-Roth (1998), HS87: Henderson and Speedy (1987), and FO3: Feistel (2003). The cone labeled GC47 indicates the 0.02% uncertainty of the Clausius–Clapeyron slope at normal pressure after Ginnings and Corruccini (1947). The intercept of M78 and FH95 at normal pressure is due to the freezing temperature of air-saturated water.

sity is mainly given by the uncertainty of Δh_{melt} , namely 0.06%, while the smaller uncertainty of the calibration factor itself is only 0.02%. In Eq. (20), the original value of K_{GC47} = 270 370 int.j.kg⁻¹ is converted from international to absolute Joules by 1.000 165 [NBS (1948), Rossini *et al.* (1952)].

The calibration factor is proportional to the Clausius-Clapeyron slope of the melting curve at normal pressure,

$$\frac{dT_{\text{melt}}}{dp} = \frac{1/\rho - 1/\rho^{\text{L}}}{s - s^{\text{L}}}$$
$$= -\frac{T_{\text{melt},p_0}}{\rho_{\text{Hg}}K_{\text{GC47}}}$$
$$= -74.301(15) \text{ mK MPa}^{-1}.$$
(21)

This value is computed with the normal pressure melting temperature T_{melt,p_0} =273.152519 K and the density of mercury, ρ_{Hg} =13595.08(2) kg m⁻³ [PTB (1995)]. The Gibbs function of this paper provides for this melting point lowering the coefficient χ =-d T_{melt} /dp=74.293 mK MPa⁻¹, which fits well into the 0.02% uncertainty interval of Eq. (21). Other standard formulas like that of Bridgman (1935), χ =73.21 mK MPa⁻¹, of Millero (1978), χ =75.3 mK MPa⁻¹, or of Wagner *et al.* (1994), χ =72.62 mK MPa⁻¹, are significantly beyond this uncertainty limit (Fig. 7).

At normal pressure, Eq. (19) provides the melting temperature $T_{melt}(p_0) = T_{melt,p_0} = 273.152519$ K. Making use of the fact that triple point temperature and normal pressure are exact by definition, and taking into account the small uncertainties of the triple point pressure (Table 1) and of the Clausius–Clapeyron coefficient, Eq. (21), the possible uncertainty of this normal melting temperature is estimated as only 2 μ K [Feistel and Wagner (2005)]. This theoretical, very small uncertainty may practically be disguised by larger ones caused by varied isotopic composition, impurities like dissolved gases, or by natural air pressure fluctuations. In contrast, it may serve as a rather sensitive measure for the purity of ice and water in mutual equilibrium.

4. Uncertainties

4.1. Summary

Combined standard uncertainties u_c reported in the following, estimated directly or indirectly from experimental data, were obtained during the numerical construction of the thermodynamic potential and exploiting its inherent consistency. Here, estimated combined standard uncertainties u_c are reported [ISO (1993a)], from which expanded uncertainties $U=ku_c$ can be obtained by multiplying with the coverage factor k=2, corresponding to a 95% level of confidence. The short notion "uncertainty" used in the following refers to combined standard uncertainties or to relative combined standard uncertainties.

The fundamental information about the uncertainty of a particular quantity in a certain region of the T-p space is adopted from the uncertainties reported or estimated for the

most accurate related experimental data. If such uncertainties were unavailable or inappropriate, our estimates were based on the quantitative agreement and consistency of the data considered, with respect to the present formulation. For cases without any corresponding measurements, attempts were made to derive the required uncertainties from other, measured parameters using thermodynamic rules. For these quantities in particular, more detailed derivations are described below.

A summary of estimated combined standard uncertainties of selected quantities in certain regions of the T-p space is given in Table 7. The uncertainty of density in different regions of the T-p space is shown in Fig. 8.

4.2. Uncertainty of Specific Entropy

Uncertainties of specific entropy are different, depending on the reference state chosen, either "IAPWS-95" or "absolute." For both cases, we estimate uncertainties at specifically selected T-p conditions. Uncertainty estimates for differences Δs of specific entropy, corresponding to thermodynamic transition processes between the initial and the final states as given in Tables 8 and 9, do not depend on the choice of the reference state and are valid for both cases: IAPWS-95 or absolute. In particular, we derive a value for the uncertainty of the specific entropy difference Δs between the zero point and the melting point,

$$u_{c}(\Delta s) = u_{c}[s(T_{\text{melt},p_{0}},p_{0}) - s(0,p_{0})].$$
(22)

In Table 8, it is assumed that the specific zero-point entropy with its uncertainty [Pauling (1935), Nagle (1966)] is given. All other specific entropy values are computed relative to it using the present and the IAPWS-95 formulation. The specific entropy uncertainty at the CODATA point is adopted from Cox *et al.* (1989). The uncertainty of its specific entropy difference to the freezing point is estimated as

$$u_{c}[s^{L}(298.15 \text{ K}, p_{0}) - s^{L}(T_{\text{melt}, p_{0}}, p_{0})]$$

$$= \int_{T_{\text{melt}, p_{0}}}^{298.15 \text{ K}} \frac{u_{c}(c_{p}^{L})}{T} dT$$

$$\approx 4 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1} \cdot \ln \frac{298.15 \text{ K}}{T_{\text{melt}, p_{0}}}$$

$$\approx 0.4 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$$
(23)

using the heat capacity uncertainty of 0.1% (IAPWS-95), i.e., $u_c(c_p^L) = 4 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$. For the specific freezing point entropy, the uncertainty of 1.8 $\text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ is computed as the root mean square of 0.4 $\text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ and 1.7 $\text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$. With the additional specific melting entropy uncertainty of only 0.07 $\text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ due to Giauque and Stout (1936), the uncertainty of the specific melting point entropy remains 1.8 $\text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$. Together with the specific zero point entropy uncertainty of only 0.05 $\text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$, we finally get the uncertainty of the specific entropy difference between the zero point and the melting point to be

Quantity	T interval	p interval	Uncertainty
$u_{\rm c}(g)$	<i>T</i> ≤273 K	<i>p</i> ≤0.1 MPa	$2 \mathrm{J}\mathrm{kg}^{-1}\mathrm{K}^{-1} \times T - T_{\mathrm{t}} $
$u_{\rm c}(g)$	$238 \text{ K} \leq T \leq 273 \text{ K}$	<i>p</i> ≤200 MPa	2 J kg ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ × $ T-T_t $ +2×10 ⁻⁶ J kg ⁻¹ Pa ⁻¹
			$ imes p-p_{\rm t} $
$u_{\rm c}(h)$	<i>T</i> ≤273 K	<i>p</i> ≤0.1 MPa	600 J kg^{-1}
$u_{\rm c}(\Delta h_{\rm melt})$	T=273.15 K	p = 0.1 MPa	200 J kg^{-1}
$u_{\rm c}(\Delta h_{\rm subl})$	$130 \text{ K} \le T \le 273 \text{ K}$	100 nPa≤ <i>p</i>	$4 \mathrm{J}\mathrm{kg}^{-1}\mathrm{K}^{-1}\! imes\!T$
$u_{\rm c}({\rm d}p_{\rm melt}/{\rm d}T)$	T=273.15 K	p = 0.1 MPa	$3 \times 10^3 \text{ Pa K}^{-1}$
$u_{\rm c}(T_{\rm melt})$	273.15 K≤ <i>T</i>	<i>p</i> ≤0.1 MPa	$2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{a}$
$u_{\rm c}(T_{\rm melt})$	273.11 K≤ <i>T</i>	<i>p</i> ≤0.6 MPa	40×10^{-6} K
$u_{\rm c}(T_{\rm melt})$	$266 \text{ K} \leq T \leq 273 \text{ K}$	<i>p</i> ≤100 MPa	2×10^{-9} K Pa ⁻¹ ×p
$u_{\rm c}(T_{\rm melt})$	$259 \text{ K} \leq T \leq 266 \text{ K}$	100 MPa≤ <i>p</i> ≤150 MPa	0.5 K
$u_{\rm c}(p_{\rm melt})/p_{\rm melt}$	$266 \text{ K} \leq T \leq 273 \text{ K}$	<i>p</i> ≤100 MPa	2%
$u_{\rm c}(p_{\rm subl})$	257 K \leq T \leq 273 K	100 Pa≤ <i>p</i>	0.4 Pa
$u_{\rm c}(p_{\rm subl})/p_{\rm subl}$	$130 \text{ K} \le T \le 257 \text{ K}$	100 nPa≤p≤100 Pa	0.6%
$u_{\rm c}(s)$	<i>T</i> ≤273 K	$p \leq 0.1 \text{ MPa}$	$2 \mathrm{J}\mathrm{kg}^{-1}\mathrm{K}^{-1}$
$u_{\rm c}(c_p)/c_p$	<i>T</i> ≤273 K	<i>p</i> ≤0.1 MPa	2%
$u_{\rm c}(\rho)/\rho$	$268 \text{ K} \leq T \leq 273 \text{ K}$	<i>p</i> ≤0.1 MPa	0.02%
$u_{\rm c}(\rho)/\rho$	<i>T</i> ≤268 K	<i>p</i> ≤0.1 MPa	0.1%
$u_{\rm c}(\rho)/\rho$	$238 \text{ K} \leq T \leq 273 \text{ K}$	<i>p</i> ≤200 MPa	0.2%
$u_{\rm c}(\alpha)$	243 K \leq <i>T</i> \leq 273 K	<i>p</i> ≤0.1 MPa	$2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$
$u_{\rm c}(\alpha)$	$100 \text{ K} \le T \le 243 \text{ K}$	<i>p</i> ≤0.1 MPa	$5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$
$u_{\rm c}(\kappa_s), u_{\rm c}(\kappa_T)$	$60 \text{ K} \leq T \leq 273 \text{ K}$	$p \leq 0.1 \text{ MPa}$	$1 \times 10^{-12} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$
$u_{\rm c}(\kappa_s), u_{\rm c}(\kappa_T)$	$238 \text{ K} \leq T \leq 273 \text{ K}$	<i>p</i> ≤200 MPa	$1 \times 10^{-12} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$

TABLE 7. Summary of estimated combined standard uncertainties of selected quantities in certain regions of the T-p space, derived from corresponding experiments

^aValue assumes an exact triple point temperature. If isotopic variations are accounted for, the additional uncertainty of the triple point temperature of 40 μ K must be included, see text.



FIG. 8. Relative combined standard uncertainty of ice density, $u_c(\rho)/\rho$, Table 7, estimated for different regions of the *T*-*p* space. No experimental high-pressure data are available at low temperatures.

$$u_{c}[s(T_{\text{melt},p_{0}},p_{0})-s(0,p_{0})]=1.8 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}.$$
 (24)

This value, which is derived from essentially the uncertainties of the specific absolute entropies at the zero point and the CODATA point, is significantly smaller than the usual value of $12 \text{ Jkg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ given by Giauque and Stout (1936), obtained from the heat capacity uncertainty.

If, however, entropy is subject to the IAPWS-95 reference state, its value for the liquid phase at the triple point is zero by definition (Table 9). The uncertainty of specific entropy at the freezing point then follows from the path integral between the adjacent states,

$$u_{c}[s^{L}(T_{t},p_{t})-s^{L}(T_{melt,p_{0}},p_{0})]$$

$$=\int_{T_{melt,p_{0}}}^{T_{t}}\frac{u_{c}(c_{p}^{L})}{T}dT+\int_{p_{0}}^{p_{t}}u_{c}\left[\left(\frac{\partial\nu^{L}}{\partial T}\right)_{p}\right]dp$$

$$\approx 4 \text{ J kg}^{-1}\text{ K}^{-1}\cdot\left|\ln\frac{T_{t}}{T_{melt,p_{0}}}\right|$$

TABLE 8. Uncertainties u_c of absolute specific entropies s and of their differences Δs

	Т (К)	p (Pa)	$\frac{\Delta s}{(\mathrm{J}\mathrm{kg}^{-1}\mathrm{K}^{-1})}$	$(J kg^{-1} K^{-1})$	$(J kg^{-1} K^{-1})$
Zero point	0	101 325		189.13	0.05
Difference			2106.57		1.8
Melting point	273.152 519	101 325		2295.70	1.8
Melting			1220.67		0.07
Freezing point	273.152 519	101 325		3516.37	1.8
Difference			367.31		0.4
CODATA point	298.15	100 000		3883.67	1.7

TABLE 9. Uncertainties u_c of IAPWS-95 specific entropies s and of their differences Δs

	Т (К)	p (Pa)	$\Delta s \over (\mathrm{J \ kg}^{-1} \mathrm{K}^{-1})$	$(J kg^{-1} K^{-1})$	$(J kg^{-1} K^{-1})$
Zero point	0	101 325		-3327.34	1.8
Difference			2106.57		1.8
Melting point	273.152 519	101 325		-1220.77	0.07
Melting			1220.67		0.07
Freezing point	273.152 519	101 325		-0.11	0.0002
Difference			0.11		0.0002
Triple point	273.16	611.657		0.0	0.0

$$+|p_{t}-p_{0}|\cdot 6\cdot 10^{-10} \text{ m kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$$

$$\approx 0.0002 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}.$$
(25)

The uncertainty of specific heat capacity was taken from the IAPWS-95 formulation, that of thermal expansion was derived from the measurements of Caldwell (1978), see Feistel (2003), thus resulting in an uncertainty of 0.0002 J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹ of specific entropy at the freezing point. The uncertainty of the specific melting entropy of Giauque and Stout (1936) of 0.07 J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹ is then the dominant contribution to the uncertainty 0.07 J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹ of specific entropy at the relating point. Between this point and the zero point, the uncertainty of the specific entropy difference was determined in Table 8 to be $1.8 J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$. Therefore, the uncertainty of the specific residual entropy with respect to the IAPWS-95 reference state is $1.8 J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$.

4.3. Uncertainty of Specific Gibbs Energy

The specific Gibbs energy of arbitrary T-p states can be computed by the path integral starting from the triple point,

$$g(T,p) = g(T_t,p_t) - \int_{T_t}^T s(T',p_t) dT' + \int_{p_t}^p \nu(T,p') dp'.$$
(26)

The corresponding uncertainties can be computed, using values given in Table 7, for the specific Gibbs energy

$$u_{c}[g(T_{t},p_{t})] = u_{c}[u(T_{t},p_{t}) - T_{t}s(T_{t},p_{t}) + p_{t}\nu(T_{t},p_{t})]$$
$$= p_{t}u_{c}[\nu(T_{t},p_{t})] + \nu(T_{t},p_{t})u_{c}(p_{t}), \quad (27)$$

$$= [-(T - r)] = 12 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot 11 \cdot r^{-1}$$
(28)

$$u_{\rm c}[g(T_{\rm t},p_{\rm t})] = 13 \cdot 10^{-5} \,\,{\rm J\,kg^{-1}},$$
 (28)

for the specific entropy,

$$u_{c}[s(T,p_{t})] = 2 \ \mathrm{J} \, \mathrm{kg}^{-1} \, \mathrm{K}^{-1},$$
 (29)

and for the specific volume,

$$u_{c}[\nu(T,p)] = 0.2 \text{ Jkg}^{-1} \text{ MPa}^{-1} \text{ for } 268 \text{ K} \leq T \leq 273 \text{ K},$$

 $p \leq 0.1 \text{ MPa}.$ (30)

$$u_{c}[\nu(T,p)] = 1 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ MPa}^{-1} \text{ for } T \leq 268 \text{ K},$$

 $p \leq 0.1 \text{ MPa},$ (31)

and

$$u_{c}[\nu(T,p)] = 2 \text{ Jkg}^{-1} \text{ MPa}^{-1} \text{ for } 238 \text{ K} \leq T \leq 273 \text{ K},$$

$$p \le 200$$
 MPa. (32)

So we get for the three different regions the expressions 268 K $\leq T \leq$ 273 K, $p \leq$ 0.1 MPa:

$$u_{\rm c}(g) = 13 \cdot 10^{-5} \, \mathrm{J\,kg^{-1}} + 2 \, \mathrm{J\,kg^{-1}\,K^{-1}}|T - T_{\rm t}| + 0.2 \, \mathrm{J\,kg^{-1}\,MPa^{-1}}|p - p_{\rm t}|,$$
(33)

 $T \le 268$ K, $p \le 0.1$ MPa:

$$u_{\rm c}(g) = 13 \cdot 10^{-5} \, \mathrm{J \, kg^{-1} + 2} \, \mathrm{J \, kg^{-1} \, K^{-1} | } T - T_{\rm t} |$$

+1 $\mathrm{J \, kg^{-1} \, MPa^{-1} | } p - p_{\rm t} |,$ (34)

238 K \leq *T* \leq 273 K, *p* \leq 200 MPa:

$$u_{c}(g) = 13 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ J kg}^{-1} + 2 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1} |T - T_{t}|$$

+2 J kg⁻¹ MPa⁻¹ |p - p_t|. (35)

Usually, these terms can be safely simplified to those given in Table 7.

4.4. Uncertainty of Specific Enthalpy

Expressing specific enthalpy by h = g + Ts, we can estimate its uncertainty as

$$u_{c}(h) = u_{c}(g) + Tu_{c}(s) \approx 2 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1} |T - T_{t}|$$

+2 J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹T=2 J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹T_t \approx 600 J kg⁻¹
(36)

in the low-pressure region $T \leq 273$ K, $p \leq 0.1$ MPa.

4.5. Uncertainty of Sublimation Enthalpy

The uncertainty of specific entropy of ice below 0.1 MPa, and therefore along the sublimation curve as well, is $1.8 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$. Supposing the IAPWS-95 specific heat capacity of water vapor at low pressures to be known with an uncertainty of $u_c(c_p^V)/c_p^V \approx 0.03\%$ and the evaporation entropy of about 9 kJ kg⁻¹ K⁻¹ with an uncertainty of 0.02%, we get for the specific entropy of vapor an uncertainty estimate of

$$u_{c}[s^{V}(T,p)] = u_{c}\left[s^{V}(T_{t},p) + \int_{T_{t}}^{T} (c_{p}^{V}/T)dT\right]$$

$$\approx u_{c}[s^{V}(T_{t},p_{t})] + u_{c}(c_{p}^{V})\ln(T_{t}/T)$$

$$\approx 2 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1} + (T_{t}-T) \cdot 0.004 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-2}.$$
(37)

Summing up the ice and vapor parts, the uncertainty estimate of sublimation enthalpy is

$$u_{\rm c}(\Delta h_{\rm subl}) = T u_{\rm c}(\Delta s_{\rm subl})$$

$$\approx T \left(1 - \frac{T}{1250 \text{ K}} \right) \cdot 5 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$$

$$\approx T \cdot 4 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}, \qquad (38)$$

varying between about 0.4 kJ kg⁻¹ (or 0.015%) at 130 K and 1 kJ kg⁻¹ (or 0.03%) at 273 K.

4.6. Uncertainty of Sublimation Pressure

For an estimate of the uncertainty of the sublimation pressure above 100 Pa, we adopt the value 0.4 Pa as provided by Jancso *et al.* (1970) for his experiment. Below 100 Pa, we use the Clausius–Clapeyron differential equation, Eq. (17),

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{subl}}}{\mathrm{d}T} = \frac{s^{\mathrm{V}} - s}{\nu^{\mathrm{V}} - \nu} \tag{39}$$

in an approximate form with $\nu^{V} - \nu \approx \nu^{V} \approx RT/p$,

$$\frac{u_{\rm c}[p_{\rm subl}]}{p_{\rm subl}} \approx \left| \int_{T_{\rm t}}^{T} u_{\rm c}(\Delta s_{\rm subl}) \frac{dT'}{RT'} \right|$$
$$\approx \frac{u_{\rm c}(\Delta s_{\rm subl})}{R} \ln \frac{T_{\rm t}}{T}$$
$$\approx 0.9\% \cdot \ln \frac{T_{\rm t}}{T}. \tag{40}$$

Therefore, down to 130 K, we can estimate the relative uncertainty by $u_c[p_{subl}]/p_{subl} = 0.6\%$. This value is smaller than the usual experimental scatter, which is between 1% and 10% of the sublimation pressure at low temperatures [Mauersberger and Krankowski (2003), Marti and Mauersberger (1993)].

4.7. Uncertainties of Melting Temperature and Pressure

Melting temperatures cannot be more accurate than the triple point temperature, which is theoretically exact by definition, but in practice uncertain within about 0.04 mK due to isotopic variations [Nicholas *et al.* (1996), White *et al.* (2003)]. In the linear range of the melting curve, the experimental uncertainty of the Clausius–Clapeyron slope of the melting curve at normal pressure, Eq. (21), gives rise to uncertainties of the melting temperatures which are even smaller than 0.04 mK (Table 7). At higher pressures, about

p > 0.6 MPa, when the effect of the curvature of the melting curve becomes comparable with that uncertainty, a more general estimate is required.

The melting curve is determined by the vanishing chemical potential difference

$$\Delta g = g^{L}(T,p) - g(T,p)$$

= $\int_{p_{t}}^{p} \nu^{L}(T_{t},p') dp' - \int_{T_{t}}^{T} s^{L}(T',p) dT'$
+ $\int_{T_{t}}^{T} s(T',p_{t}) dT' - \int_{p_{t}}^{p} \nu(T,p') dp'.$ (41)

The two integration paths are chosen to be inside the liquid and inside the vapor region of the T-p space. Since no uncertainty estimate is given by the IAPWS-95 formulation for the specific entropy of the liquid, we transform by partial integration the corresponding integral into

$$\int_{T_{t}}^{T} s^{L}(T',p) dT' = \int_{T_{t}}^{T} \left(\frac{T}{T'} - 1\right) c_{p}^{L}(T',p) dT'. \quad (42)$$

For $p \leq 100$ MPa, we can estimate the uncertainty $u_c(\Delta g)$ using the values $u_c(\nu^L)/\nu^L = 0.003\%$ (from IAPWS-95), $u_c(c_p^L)/c_p^L = 0.3\%$ (from IAPWS-95), $u_c(\nu)/\nu = 0.2\%$ (from Table 7), and, at $p \leq 0.1$ MPa, $u_c(s) = 2 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ (from Table 7):

$$u_{c}(\Delta g) = \int_{p_{t}}^{p} u_{c} [\nu^{L}(T_{t}, p')] dp' + \int_{T_{t}}^{T} \left(\frac{T}{T'} - 1\right) u_{c} [c_{p}^{L}(T', p)] dT' + \int_{T_{t}}^{T} u_{c} [s(T', p_{t})] dT' + \int_{p_{t}}^{p} u_{c} [\nu(T, p')] dp'$$
(43)

$$u_{c}(\Delta g) = 3 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ m}^{3} \text{ kg}^{-1}(p-p_{t})$$

$$+ 12 \text{ J} \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1} \left(T \ln \frac{T}{T_{t}} - T + T_{t} \right)$$

$$+ 2 \text{ J} \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1} |T - T_{t}|$$

$$+ 2 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^{3} \text{ kg}^{-1}(p-p_{t}). \qquad (44)$$

Along the melting curve up to 100 MPa, the last of these four terms is clearly dominating, which results from the uncertainty of the ice density at high pressures. At given pressure, the uncertainty in melting temperature becomes

и

$$c(\Delta g) = |\Delta s_{\text{melt}}| u_c(T_{\text{melt}})$$

$$= \left| \frac{\Delta h_{\text{melt}}}{T_{\text{melt}}} \right| u_c(T_{\text{melt}})$$

$$= 2 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} (p - p_t), \quad (45)$$

$$u_{\rm c}(T_{\rm melt}) \approx 2 \cdot 10^{-9} \, {\rm K \, Pa^{-1}} \cdot p \,.$$
 (46)

J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, Vol. 35, No. 2, 2006

Particularly in the medium pressure range, this uncertainty is much smaller than $u_c(T_{melt}) = 0.5$ K given by Henderson and Speedy (1987) for their data.

At a given temperature, this corresponds to the relative uncertainty of the melting pressure,

$$u_{\rm c}(\Delta g) = |\Delta \nu_{\rm melt}| u_{\rm c}(p_{\rm melt})$$

= 2 \cdot 10^{-6} m³ kg⁻¹(p_{melt}-p_t), (47)

$$\frac{u_{\rm c}(p_{\rm melt})}{p_{\rm melt}} = 2\%.$$
(48)

This value, derived here without explicitly considering any freezing point measurements, is in good agreement with $u_c(p_{melt})/p_{melt} = 3\%$ reported by Wagner *et al.* (1994).

5. Conclusion

A new, compact analytical formulation for the Gibbs thermodynamic potential of ice Ih is presented. It is valid in temperature between 0 and 273.16 K and in pressure between 0 and 210 MPa, thus covering the entire region of stable existence in the T-p diagram. Combining various properties into a single, consistent formula allows significantly reduced uncertainties for properties (such as isothermal compressibility and thermal expansion coefficient), where the direct experimental measurements have relatively high uncertainty. Combined with the IAPWS-95 formulation of fluid water, accurate values for melting and sublimation points can be derived in a consistent manner, replacing former separate correlation functions. This method can directly be extended to other aqueous systems like seawater. Thus, a Gibbs function of sea ice and the freezing points of seawater are made available up to 100 MPa [Feistel and Wagner (2005), Feistel et al. (2005)].

Five hundred twenty two data points of 32 different groups of measurements are reproduced by the new formulation within their experimental uncertainty. The formulation obeys Debye's theoretical cubic law at low temperatures, and pressure-independent residual entropy as required by the Third Law. By deriving it from very accurately known elastic lattice constants of ice, the uncertainty in isothermal compressibility of previous formulas is reduced by about 100 times; its new value at normal pressure is 118(1) TPa⁻¹. The uncertainty in the Clausius–Clapeyron slope χ at normal pressure of previous formulas is reduced by 100 times; for the melting point lowering at normal pressure the Gibbs function of this paper provides the coefficient χ = 74.293 mK MPa⁻¹ with 0.02% uncertainty. The absolute entropy of liquid water at the triple point is found to be $3516(2) \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$. The corresponding figure of absolute entropy of liquid water at 298.15 K and 0.1 MPa is $3883.7 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$; it agrees very well with the latest CO-DATA key value, $3882.8(1.7) \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ [Cox *et al.* (1989)].

The melting temperature at normal pressure is found to be 273.152 519(2) K if the triple point temperature is supposed

to be exact by definition. The deviation of experimental melting points at high pressures is about 50 mK; the uncertainty of the present formulation is estimated as 2% of the melting pressure. The density of ice at the normal pressure melting point is 916.72 kg m⁻³ with an estimated uncertainty of 0.01%, in excellent agreement with the value computed by Ginnings and Corruccini (1947).

Density measurements of different authors deviate by up to 0.3% in an apparently systematic manner. The hypothetical shallow density maximum at about 70 K is not reflected in this formulation, further investigation of this point seems in order for its decisive clarification, possibly in conjunction with an improved knowledge about the supposed phase transition to ice XI. The deviations in measured heat capacity at the apparent transition point at about 100 K appear to be systematic but do not rise above the average experimental uncertainty threshold. Further work is apparently required to resolve those deviations for being included into the theoretical formulation. The heat capacity c_p at high pressures barely deviates from its low-pressure values; the differences are within the 2% uncertainty of c_p at normal pressure.

An extension of the sublimation curve to lower temperatures and pressures will require data of water vapor heat capacities below 130 K which are not implemented in the current IAPWS-95 formulation. The c_p^V value at 130 K is about 4R [Wagner and Pruß (2002)] and must decrease exponentially to 1.5R at 0 K due to successively vanishing contributions from vibrational and rotational excitation states of the water molecules [Landau and Lifschitz (1966)]. Points of this curve, required for the computation of the chemical potential of water vapor, are known down to a c_p^V value of about 3R at 10 K [Woolley (1980)].

Experimental data for ice Ih at high pressures and low temperatures are completely missing. Phase transition curves in this region are only very vaguely known by now. Verifying the current quantitative knowledge in those "white areas" of the T-p diagram remains a future task.

6. Acknowledgments

The authors thank D. Murphy and V. E. Tchijov for hints on additional relevant literature. They are grateful to W. F. Kuhs for providing numerically more accurate coefficients concerning the paper of Röttger *et al.* (1994), and to S. J. Singer for helpful discussions and literature about the ice Ih-XI transition properties. They further thank A. Schröder and B. Sievert for getting access to various special articles, and C. Guder for performing a number of test calculations. The compilation of the actual new version of the Gibbs function as described in this paper was mainly triggered by critical comments and helpful hints of the referee. The authors thank A. Harvey for kind support regarding the conversion of older measuring units, the uncertainty of the triple point temperature, and improving English phrases.

Numerical implementations in FORTRAN, C++ and Visual Basic of the first version of the Gibbs potential, differing from the current one only slightly in the set of coefficients,

are freely available as source code examples from the numerical supplement of a web-published article by Feistel *et al.* (2005).

7. Appendix: Tables and Diagrams of Thermodynamic Properties of Ice Ih

The new formulation provides properties of ice Ih which have previously been measured only partly, if at all. For an overview, in this section the most important quantities derived from the potential function are provided as tables as well as displayed graphically as functions of temperature and pressure. Given are the Gibbs energy (Table 10, Fig. 9), the density (Table 11, Fig. 10), the specific entropy (Table 12, Fig. 11), the specific isobaric heat capacity (Table 13, Fig. 12), the specific enthalpy (Table 14, Fig. 13), the cubic expansion coefficient (Table 15, Fig. 14), the pressure coefficient (Table 16, Fig. 15), and the isothermal compressibility (Table 17, Fig. 16). Sublimation equilibrium states exist for arbitrarily small pressures p > 0. The values reported in the column "0 Pa" refer to ice properties in the mathematical limit of an infinitely small pressure p.

Equilibria between ice and liquid water or water vapor require equal chemical potentials of water between those phases, which are available from the IAPWS-95 Gibbs energy of pure water, $g^{L}(T,p)$, and of water vapor, $g^{V}(T,p)$



FIG. 9. Specific Gibbs energy g(T,p) of ice, i.e., its chemical potential, in kJ kg⁻¹ as a function of temperature for several pressures as indicated at the curves. Values were computed from Eq. (1).

(Wagner and Pruß 2002). In such cases, the Gibbs function of ice must be evaluated using the IAPWS-95 version of the residual entropy coefficient s_0 (Table 2). Therefore, the IAPWS-95 reference state with vanishing entropy and internal energy of liquid water at the triple point, Eq. (2), was

TABLE 10. Specific Gibbs energy, g(T,p), Eq. (1), in kJ kg⁻¹

Temp		Pressure								
(K)	0 Pa	101 325 Pa	50 MPa	100 MPa	150 MPa	200 MPa				
0	-632.129	-632.020	-578.708	-525.530	-472.583	-419.860				
10	-598.865	-598.757	-545.445	-492.266	-439.320	- 386.596				
20	-565.778	-565.670	-512.357	-459.179	-406.233	- 353.509				
30	-533.227	-533.119	-479.806	-426.628	-373.681	-320.957				
40	-501.435	-501.326	-448.013	-394.834	-341.886	-289.162				
50	-470.483	-470.375	-417.060	-363.879	-310.930	-258.205				
60	-440.405	-440.297	- 386.979	-333.796	-280.845	-228.117				
70	-411.214	-411.106	- 357.783	-304.595	-251.641	-198.909				
80	-382.914	-382.805	-329.476	-276.282	-223.321	-170.584				
90	-355.503	-355.394	-302.055	-248.851	-195.882	-143.137				
100	-328.974	-328.866	-275.513	-222.297	-169.316	-116.561				
110	-303.320	-303.212	-249.841	-196.608	-143.613	-90.845				
120	-278.529	-278.420	-225.027	-171.774	-118.760	-65.975				
130	-254.589	-254.480	-201.060	-147.783	-94.746	-41.940				
140	-231.488	-231.379	-177.928	-124.621	-71.557	-18.728				
150	-209.215	-209.106	- 155.618	-102.278	-49.183	3.675				
160	- 187.759	-187.650	-134.121	-80.743	-27.613	25.277				
170	-167.112	-167.003	-113.428	-60.007	- 6.839	46.087				
180	-147.266	-147.157	-93.532	-40.064	13.148	66.113				
190	-128.214	-128.105	-74.425	-20.907	32.352	85.359				
200	-109.951	-109.842	-56.103	-2.530	50.778	103.832				
210	-92.472	- 92.363	-38.562	15.070	68.432	121.535				
220	-75.774	-75.664	-21.797	31.896	85.316	138.472				
230	- 59.853	- 59.743	-5.806	47.952	101.432	154.643				
240	-44.706	- 44.596	9.413	63.240	116.783	170.053				
250	-30.333	-30.222	23.863	77.761	131.371	184.702				
260	-16.730	- 16.619	37.546	91.518	_	_				
270	-3.896	-3.785	_	_	—					
273	-0.195	-0.085	—	—	—	—				

TABLE 11. D	Density, ρ	(T,p).	Eq. (4)	. in ks	m^{-3}
-------------	-----------------	--------	---------	---------	----------

Temp. (K)		Pressure								
	0 Pa	101 325 Pa	50 MPa	100 MPa	150 MPa	200 MPa				
0	933.79	933.80	938.13	942.32	946.37	950.29				
10	933.79	933.80	938.13	942.32	946.37	950.29				
20	933.79	933.79	938.12	942.32	946.37	950.29				
30	933.78	933.79	938.12	942.31	946.36	950.28				
40	933.77	933.78	938.11	942.30	946.35	950.27				
50	933.74	933.75	938.08	942.28	946.33	950.25				
60	933.69	933.69	938.03	942.23	946.29	950.22				
70	933.60	933.61	937.95	942.16	946.22	950.16				
80	933.47	933.48	937.83	942.05	946.12	950.07				
90	933.29	933.30	937.66	941.89	945.98	949.94				
100	933.04	933.05	937.43	941.68	945.79	949.76				
110	932.72	932.73	937.13	941.40	945.53	949.53				
120	932.32	932.33	936.76	941.06	945.22	949.24				
130	931.83	931.84	936.31	940.64	944.83	948.89				
140	931.26	931.27	935.77	940.14	944.37	948.48				
150	930.61	930.61	935.16	939.57	943.85	948.00				
160	929.86	929.87	934.46	938.93	943.25	947.45				
170	929.04	929.05	933.69	938.21	942.59	946.84				
180	928.14	928.15	932.85	937.42	941.86	946.17				
190	927.17	927.18	931.93	936.56	941.06	945.44				
200	926.12	926.13	930.95	935.64	940.21	944.65				
210	925.01	925.02	929.90	934.66	939.30	943.81				
220	923.84	923.85	928.80	933.63	938.33	942.91				
230	922.61	922.62	927.63	932.53	937.31	941.97				
240	921.32	921.33	926.42	931.39	936.24	940.98				
250	919.99	920.00	925.15	930.20	935.12	939.94				
260	918.60	918.61	923.84	928.96	_	_				
270	917.17	917.18	_	_	_	_				
273	916.73	916.74	_	_	_					

used for all computations in this Appendix. A list of properties at the triple point and at the normal pressure melting point is given in Table 18. Properties along the melting curve are reported in Table 19, along the sublimation curve in Table 20.

The exact locations of possible phase transition lines between ice Ih and ices II, III, IX, or XI are still relatively uncertain [see e.g. Lobban *et al.* (1998)] and not considered in the graphs and tables below. In the following tables, figures are reported with several digits, not strictly dependent on the experimental uncertainty of the particular quantity. In many cases, as for several properties at higher pressures, this uncertainty is simply unknown. Sometimes, differences between given figures may have smaller uncertainties than the reported absolute values themselves. Summaries of uncertainties are provided in Tables 5 and 7. The many digits given in Table 18 are intended for use as numerical check values.



FIG. 10. Density $\rho(T,p)$ in kg m⁻³ as a function of temperature for several pressures as indicated at the isobars in panel (a), as a function of pressure for several temperatures as indicated at the isotherms, panel (b), and isochors as functions of pressure and temperature, belonging to densities as indicated at the curves, panel (c). Values were computed from Eq. (4).

EQUATION OF STATE FOR H₂O ICE IH

TABLE 12.	Specific	entropy, s	(T,p),	Eq. (5), in	$J kg^{-1}$	K^{-1}
-----------	----------	------------	--------	-------	--------	-------------	----------

Temp		Pressure									
(K)	0 Pa	101 325 Pa	50 MPa	100 MPa	150 MPa	200 MPa					
0	-3327.34	-3327.34	- 3327.34	-3327.34	-3327.34	- 3327.34					
10	-3323.00	-3323.00	-3323.00	-3323.01	-3323.01	-3323.01					
20	-3287.38	-3287.38	-3287.39	-3287.41	-3287.42	-3287.43					
30	- 3219.29	- 3219.29	- 3219.34	- 3219.38	- 3219.42	- 3219.46					
40	-3138.01	-3138.01	-3138.12	-3138.22	-3138.31	-3138.39					
50	-3051.81	-3051.81	-3052.02	-3052.22	-3052.39	-3052.55					
60	-2963.58	-2963.58	-2963.95	-2964.29	-2964.59	-2964.87					
70	-2874.58	-2874.58	-2875.16	-2875.69	-2876.17	-2876.60					
80	-2785.50	-2785.51	-2786.35	-2787.13	-2787.84	-2788.48					
90	-2696.84	-2696.85	-2698.02	-2699.11	-2700.09	-2700.98					
100	-2608.95	-2608.96	-2610.52	-2611.95	-2613.26	-2614.44					
110	-2522.08	-2522.08	-2524.07	-2525.90	-2527.57	-2529.08					
120	-2436.37	-2436.37	-2438.81	-2441.07	-2443.13	-2444.99					
130	-2351.86	-2351.87	-2354.78	-2357.48	-2359.95	-2362.19					
140	-2268.53	-2268.53	-2271.93	-2275.08	-2277.96	-2280.58					
150	-2186.28	-2186.28	-2190.17	-2193.76	-2197.06	-2200.06					
160	-2104.99	-2105.00	-2109.35	-2113.39	-2117.09	-2120.47					
170	-2024.54	-2024.55	-2029.36	-2033.82	-2037.93	-2041.68					
180	-1944.81	-1944.82	-1950.06	- 1954.93	-1959.42	- 1963.53					
190	-1865.67	-1865.68	-1871.34	-1876.61	-1881.47	-1885.92					
200	-1787.03	-1787.04	-1793.10	-1798.74	-1803.96	-1808.74					
210	-1708.81	-1708.82	-1715.26	-1721.26	-1726.81	- 1731.91					
220	-1630.93	-1630.94	-1637.74	-1644.08	-1649.95	-1655.36					
230	-1553.33	- 1553.34	-1560.48	-1567.15	-1573.34	-1579.04					
240	-1475.97	-1475.99	-1483.45	-1490.43	-1496.91	-1502.89					
250	-1398.81	-1398.83	-1406.60	-1413.87	-1420.64	-1426.89					
260	-1321.81	-1321.83	-1329.90	-1337.46	_	_					
270	-1244.96	-1244.97	_	_	_	_					
273	- 1221.92	- 1221.94	—	_	_	_					



FIG. 11. Specific entropy $s(T,p_0)$ in J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹ at normal pressure, panel (a), and relative to normal pressure, $\Delta s = s(T,p) - s(T,p_0)$, panel (b), for several pressures p as indicated at the curves. Values were computed from Eq. (5).

Tome		Pressure								
(K)	0 Pa	101 325 Pa	50 MPa	100 MPa	150 MPa	200 MPa				
0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
10	14.80	14.80	14.79	14.79	14.79	14.78				
20	111.43	111.43	111.39	111.35	111.32	111.29				
30	230.66	230.66	230.52	230.4	230.28	230.18				
40	337.89	337.89	337.56	337.26	336.98	336.74				
50	437.49	437.49	436.85	436.27	435.73	435.26				
60	532.56	532.56	531.47	530.47	529.56	528.74				
70	623.92	623.92	622.24	620.69	619.28	618.02				
80	711.48	711.48	709.08	706.87	704.85	703.03				
90	794.94	794.93	791.72	788.75	786.05	783.59				
100	874.15	874.14	870.08	866.33	862.90	859.78				
110	949.39	949.38	944.50	939.98	935.83	932.05				
120	1021.31	1021.30	1015.68	1010.46	1005.65	1001.26				
130	1090.81	1090.80	1084.55	1078.73	1073.35	1068.43				
140	1158.84	1158.82	1152.06	1145.76	1139.92	1134.56				
150	1226.20	1226.18	1219.04	1212.37	1206.17	1200.45				
160	1293.52	1293.51	1286.10	1279.15	1272.69	1266.70				
170	1361.23	1361.21	1353.62	1346.49	1339.83	1333.65				
180	1429.54	1429.53	1421.84	1414.59	1407.80	1401.47				
190	1498.58	1498.57	1490.83	1483.51	1476.63	1470.20				
200	1568.37	1568.35	1560.60	1553.25	1546.33	1539.83				
210	1638.88	1638.86	1631.12	1623.77	1616.82	1610.27				
220	1710.04	1710.03	1702.33	1694.99	1688.03	1681.45				
230	1781.81	1781.79	1774.13	1766.82	1759.86	1753.26				
240	1854.09	1854.08	1846.48	1839.19	1832.24	1825.63				
250	1926.85	1926.83	1919.28	1912.03	1905.09	1898.46				
260	2000.00	1999.98	1992.49	1985.27	_	_				
270	2073.49	2073.48	_	_	_	_				
273	2095.60	2095.59	—	—	—	—				

TABLE 13. Specific isobaric heat capacity, $c_p(T,p)$, Eq. (6), in $J \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$



FIG. 12. Specific isobaric heat capacity $c_p(T,p_0)$ in J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹ at normal pressure, panel (a), and relative to normal pressure, $\Delta c_p = c_p(T,p) - c_p(T,p_0)$, panel (b), for several pressures p as indicated at the curves. Values were computed from Eq. (6).

EQUATION OF STATE FOR H₂O ICE IH

TABLE 14. Specific enthalpy, h(T,p), Eq. (7), in kJ kg⁻¹

TABLE 15. Cubic expansion coefficient, $\alpha(T,p)$, Eq. (10), in 10^{-6} K⁻¹

Temn	Pressure							
(K)	0 Pa	101 325 Pa	50 MPa	100 MPa	150 MPa	200 MPa		
0	-632.129	-632.020	-578.708	-525.530	-472.583	-419.860		
10	-632.095	-631.987	-578.675	-525.496	-472.550	-419.826		
20	-631.526	-631.417	-578.105	-524.927	-471.981	-419.257		
30	-629.806	-629.698	-576.387	-523.209	-470.264	-417.541		
40	-626.955	-626.846	-573.538	-520.362	-467.419	-414.697		
50	-623.073	-622.965	-569.661	-516.490	-463.550	-410.832		
60	-618.220	-618.111	-564.816	-511.653	-458.720	-406.009		
70	-612.434	-612.326	-559.044	-505.894	-452.973	-400.271		
80	-605.754	-605.646	-552.384	-499.252	-446.348	- 393.663		
90	-598.219	-598.110	-544.877	-491.771	-438.890	-386.226		
100	-589.870	-589.761	-536.564	-483.492	-430.642	-378.005		
110	-580.749	-580.641	-527.488	-474.457	-421.645	-369.043		
120	-570.893	-570.785	-517.685	-464.703	-411.936	-359.375		
130	-560.331	-560.223	-507.182	-454.255	-401.539	-349.025		
140	-549.082	-548.974	-495.998	-443.132	-390.472	-338.009		
150	-537.156	-537.048	-484.143	-431.341	-378.742	-326.334		
160	-524.558	-524.450	-471.617	-418.884	-366.348	-313.999		
170	-511.284	-511.177	-458.419	-405.757	-353.286	-300.998		
180	-497.331	-497.224	-444.543	-391.952	-339.549	-287.323		
190	-482.691	-482.584	-429.980	-377.462	-325.127	-272.966		
200	-467.357	-467.250	-414.723	-362.279	-310.013	-257.916		
210	-451.321	-451.215	-398.765	-346.394	-294.198	-242.166		
220	-434.577	-434.471	-382.099	-329.801	-277.674	-225.708		
230	-417.118	-417.012	-364.717	-312.493	-260.435	-208.535		
240	- 398.939	- 398.833	-346.614	-294.463	-242.475	-190.641		
250	-380.035	- 379.929	-327.786	-275.707	-223.789	-172.021		
260	-360.401	-360.295	-308.227	-256.221	_	_		
270	-340.034	-339.928	_	_	_	_		
273	-333.780	-333.675	—		_	—		

Temp.		Pressure								
(K)	0 Pa	101 325 Pa	50 MPa	100 MPa	150 MPa	200 MPa				
0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
10	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02				
20	0.27	0.27	0.25	0.23	0.20	0.18				
30	0.91	0.91	0.83	0.76	0.69	0.62				
40	2.15	2.15	1.98	1.81	1.64	1.46				
50	4.19	4.18	3.86	3.53	3.20	2.86				
60	7.19	7.19	6.64	6.07	5.51	4.93				
70	11.29	11.29	10.43	9.55	8.67	7.78				
80	16.55	16.55	15.30	14.03	12.75	11.46				
90	22.94	22.94	21.23	19.49	17.74	15.96				
100	30.36	30.36	28.12	25.85	23.56	21.24				
110	38.61	38.61	35.80	32.95	30.07	27.16				
120	47.46	47.45	44.05	40.60	37.11	33.58				
130	56.64	56.63	52.63	48.57	44.47	40.32				
140	65.93	65.93	61.34	56.68	51.98	47.22				
150	75.15	75.14	69.99	64.76	59.48	54.14				
160	84.13	84.12	78.45	72.69	66.87	60.98				
170	92.80	92.79	86.63	80.38	74.05	67.66				
180	101.08	101.07	94.47	87.77	80.99	74.13				
190	108.96	108.95	101.95	94.84	87.65	80.37				
200	116.42	116.41	109.05	101.58	94.02	86.36				
210	123.48	123.46	115.78	107.99	100.10	92.11				
220	130.14	130.12	122.16	114.08	105.90	97.61				
230	136.43	136.41	128.21	119.87	111.43	102.88				
240	142.37	142.35	133.93	125.37	116.70	107.93				
250	147.98	147.96	139.36	130.61	121.75	112.77				
260	153.29	153.28	144.51	135.60	—	—				
270	158.33	158.31	_	—	—	—				
273	159.79	159.77		_	_					



FIG. 13. Specific enthalpy h(T,p) in kJ kg⁻¹ as a function of temperature for several pressures as indicated at the curves. Values were computed from Eq. (7).



FIG. 14. Cubic expansion coefficient $\alpha(T,p)$ in 10^{-6} K⁻¹ for several pressures as indicated at the curves. Values were computed from Eq. (10).

TABLE 17. Isothermal compressibility, $\kappa_T(T,p)$, Eq. (12), in TPa⁻¹

100 MPa

87.46

87.46

87.46

87.47

87.49

87.54

87.63

87.77

87.99

88.29

88.69

89.20

89.83

90.59

91.47

92.46

93.57

94.77

96.08

97.47

98.93

100.47

102.07

103.72

105.42

107.17

108.94

150 MPa

84.18

84.18

84.18

84.19

84.22

84.27

84.36

84.50

84.72

85.02

85.43

85.95

86.59

87.35

88.24

89.24

90.36

91.58

92.90

94.31

95.79

97.35

98.96

100.64

102.36

104.13

200 MPa

81.09

81.09

81.09

81.10

81.13

81.18

81.27

81.41

81.63

81.94

82.35

82.87

83.52

84.29

85.19

86.20

87.33

88.57

89.90

91.32

92.82

94.40

96.04

97.73

99.47

101.26

Temp		Pressure							Pressure		
(K)	0 Pa	101 325 Pa	50 MPa	100 MPa	150 MPa	200 MPa	(K)	0 Pa	101 325 Pa	50 MPa	100
0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	94.54	94.53	90.91	8
10	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	10	94.54	94.53	90.91	8
20	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.4	2.2	20	94.54	94.53	90.91	8
30	9.6	9.6	9.2	8.7	8.2	7.6	30	94.55	94.54	90.92	8
40	22.7	22.7	21.8	20.7	19.4	18.0	40	94.57	94.57	90.95	8
50	44.2	44.2	42.4	40.3	38.0	35.3	50	94.62	94.61	90.99	8
60	75.9	75.9	72.8	69.3	65.3	60.7	60	94.71	94.70	91.08	8
70	119.0	119.0	114.3	108.8	102.6	95.6	70	94.85	94.84	91.22	8
80	174.1	174.1	167.3	159.4	150.5	140.3	80	95.06	95.05	91.43	8
90	240.6	240.6	231.4	220.8	208.6	194.8	90	95.35	95.34	91.73	8
100	317.1	317.1	305.2	291.5	275.7	257.9	100	95.74	95.73	92.13	8
110	401.2	401.2	386.4	369.4	349.9	327.8	110	96.24	96.24	92.64	8
120	489.9	489.9	472.3	451.9	428.6	402.1	120	96.86	96.85	93.26	8
130	580.3	580.3	559.8	536.2	509.1	478.3	130	97.60	97.59	94.01	9
140	669.7	669.6	646.5	619.7	589.1	554.3	140	98.46	98.45	94.88	9
150	755.8	755.8	730.1	700.5	666.5	628.1	150	99.43	99.42	95.86	9
160	837.1	837.1	809.2	776.9	740.0	698.3	160	100.50	100.50	96.95	9
170	912.6	912.6	882.7	848.1	808.6	763.9	170	101.68	101.67	98.14	9
180	981.8	981.8	950.1	913.5	871.8	824.6	180	102.95	102.95	99.43	9
190	1044.6	1044.6	1011.3	973.0	929.4	880.1	190	104.31	104.30	100.80	9
200	1101.1	1101.0	1066.5	1026.7	981.5	930.4	200	105.74	105.73	102.25	9
210	1151.5	1151.4	1115.8	1074.8	1028.3	975.7	210	107.23	107.23	103.77	10
220	1196.2	1196.2	1159.6	1117.7	1070.0	1016.4	220	108.79	108.78	105.35	10
230	1235.8	1235.7	1198.4	1155.7	1107.2	1052.7	230	110.40	110.39	106.98	10
240	1270.5	1270.4	1232.6	1189.2	1140.1	1085.0	240	112.05	112.05	108.66	10
250	1301.0	1300.9	1262.6	1218.8	1169.2	1113.6	250	113.75	113.74	110.38	10
260	1327.5	1327.4	1288.8	1244.7	_	_	260	115.48	115.47	112.13	10
270	1350.5	1350.5	_	_	_		270	117.23	117.23	_	_
273	1356.8	1356.7	_				273	117.77	117.76	_	_



FIG. 15. Pressure coefficient $\beta(T,p)$ in kPa K⁻¹ for several pressures as indicated at the curves. Values were computed from Eq. (11).



FIG. 16. Isothermal compressibility $\kappa_T(T,p)$ in 10⁶ MPa⁻¹ for several pressures as indicated at the curves. Values were computed from Eq. (12).

TABLE 16. Pressure coefficient, $\beta(T,p)$, Eq. (11), in kPa K⁻¹

Quantity	Value at T_t , p_t	Value at T_{melt,p_0} , p_0	Unit
р	611.657	101 325	Pa
Т	273.16	273.152 519	K
g	0.611 670 524	101.342 627 076	$\mathrm{Jkg^{-1}}$
$(\partial g/\partial p)_T$	1.090 858 127 366 4E-03	1.090 843 882 143 11E-03	$m^3 kg^{-1}$
$(\partial g/\partial T)_n$	1220.694 339 396 87	1220.769 325 496 96	$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
$(\partial^2 g / \partial p^2)_T$	-1.28495941571494E-13	-1.284 853 649 284 55E-13	$m^3 kg^{-1} Pa^{-1}$
$\partial^2 g / \partial p \partial T$	1.743 879 646 995 98E-07	1.743 622 199 721 59E-07	$m^3 kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
$(\partial^2 g/\partial T^2)_p$	$-7.676\ 029\ 858\ 750\ 67$	-7.675 982 333 647 98	$J kg^{-1} K^{-2}$
h	- 333 444.254 079 125	-333354.873750348	$J kg^{-1}$
f	$-0.055\ 560\ 486\ 077\ 8842$	-9.187 129 281 834 95	$\rm J~kg^{-1}$
U	- 333 444.921 310 135	- 333 465.403 506 706	$J kg^{-1}$
C_p	2 096.784 316 216 33	2 096.713 910 235 44	$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$
ρ	916.709 492 199 729	916.721 463 419 096	$kg m^{-3}$
α	1.598 631 025 655 13E-04	1.598 415 894 578 8E-04	K^{-1}
β	1357 147.646 585 94	1 357 058.993 211 01	$Pa K^{-1}$
κ_T	1.177 934 493 477 31E-10	1.177 852 917 651 5E-10	Pa^{-1}
κ_s	1.141 615 977 786 3E-10	1.141 544 425 564 98E-10	Pa^{-1}

TABLE 18. Properties at the triple point and the normal pressure melting point, usable as numerical check values. The numerical functions evaluated here at given points (T,p) are defined in Eq. (1) and Tables 3 and 4

TABLE 19. Properties on the melting curve. Differences of specific volumes and enthalpies between liquid water and ice are defined as $\Delta v_{melt} = v^L - v$ and $\Delta h_{melt} = h^L - h$. The corresponding differences are $\Delta g = g^L - g = 0$ in specific Gibbs energy and therefore $\Delta s_{melt} = s^L - s = \Delta h_{melt}/T$ in specific entropy

Т (К)	p (MPa)	$(\mathrm{cm}^3\mathrm{kg}^{-1})$	$\Delta \nu_{\rm melt} \ ({\rm cm}^3 {\rm kg}^{-1})$	h (kJ kg ⁻¹)	$\Delta h_{\rm melt}$ (kJ kg ⁻¹)	$(kJ kg^{-1})$	$(J kg^{-1} K^{-1})$
273.16	0.0006	1090.86	-90.65	- 333.444	333.446	0.001	- 1220.69
273.152519	0.1013	1090.84	-90.69	- 333.355	333.427	0.101	-1220.77
273	2.1453	1090.55	-91.43	-331.542	333.051	2.144	-1222.30
272	15.1355	1088.73	-96.01	-320.088	330.518	15.072	-1232.20
271	27.4942	1087.00	-100.19	-309.291	327.883	27.279	-1241.96
270	39.3133	1085.35	-104.05	-299.056	325.167	38.870	-1251.58
269	50.6633	1083.78	-107.63	-289.307	322.385	49.924	-1261.08
268	61.5996	1082.28	-110.97	-279.986	319.551	60.502	-1270.48
267	72.1668	1080.84	-114.09	-271.046	316.677	70.656	-1279.78
266	82.4018	1079.45	-117.03	-262.448	313.770	80.427	-1289.00
265	92.3352	1078.12	-119.80	-254.159	310.842	89.849	-1298.15
264	101.9928	1076.82	-122.41	-246.153	307.898	98.953	-1307.22
263	111.3970	1075.57	-124.89	-238.405	304.947	107.761	-1316.22
262	120.5669	1074.36	-127.24	-230.896	301.995	116.298	-1325.17
261	129.5195	1073.18	-129.48	-223.607	299.049	124.582	-1334.05
260	138.2699	1072.04	-131.61	-216.522	296.116	132.629	-1342.89
259	146.8313	1070.93	-133.64	-209.629	293.201	140.455	-1351.67
258	155.2158	1069.85	-135.57	-202.913	290.313	148.074	-1360.41
257	163.4344	1068.79	-137.42	-196.363	287.456	155.497	-1369.11
256	171.4972	1067.76	-139.19	-189.969	284.637	162.737	-1377.76
255	179.4135	1066.76	-140.88	-183.721	281.864	169.804	-1386.37
254	187.1919	1065.78	-142.50	-177.609	279.142	176.707	-1394.95
253	194.8407	1064.82	-144.04	-171.626	276.479	183.456	-1403.49
252	202.3675	1063.89	-145.53	-165.763	273.882	190.059	-1411.99
251	209.7797	1062.97	-146.94	-160.012	271.358	196.526	-1420.47
250	217.0846	1062.07	- 148.30	-154.366	268.915	202.862	- 1428.91

TABLE 20. Properties on the sublimation curve. Differences of specific volumes and enthalpies between water vapor and ice are defined as $\Delta v_{subl} = \nu^{V} - \nu$ and $\Delta h_{subl} = h^{V} - h$. The corresponding differences are $\Delta g = g^{V} - g = 0$ in specific Gibbs energy and therefore $\Delta s_{subl} = s^{V} - s = \Delta h_{subl} / T$ in specific entropy

Т	р	ν	$\Delta \nu_{ m subl}$	h	$\Delta h_{\rm subl}$	g	S
(K)	(Pa)	$(\mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{kg}^{-1})$	$(\mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{kg}^{-1})$	$(kJ kg^{-1})$	$(kJ kg^{-1})$	$(kJ kg^{-1})$	$(J kg^{-1} K^{-1})$
273.16	611.66	1090.86	2.0599E+08	- 333.444	2834.359	+0.001	- 1220.69
270	470.06	1090.31	2.6497E+08	-340.033	2835.166	-3.895	-1244.96
265	305.91	1089.45	3.9965E+08	-350.309	2836.269	-10.216	-1283.37
260	195.80	1088.61	6.1267E+08	-360.401	2837.165	-16.729	-1321.81
255	123.14	1087.79	9.5552E+08	-370.309	2837.860	-23.435	-1360.29
250	76.016	1086.97	1.5176E+09	-380.035	2838.358	-30.332	-1398.81
245	46.008	1086.18	2.4574E+09	-389.578	2838.664	-37.423	-1437.37
240	27.269	1085.40	4.0617E+09	- 398.939	2838.781	-44.706	-1475.97
235	15.806	1084.63	6.8613E+09	-408.119	2838.710	-52.183	-1514.62
230	8.9479	1083.88	1.1863E+10	-417.118	2838.456	-59.853	- 1553.33
225	4.9393	1083.15	2.1023E+10	-425.938	2838.020	-67.716	-1592.10
220	2.6542	1082.44	3.8254E+10	-434.577	2837.403	-75.774	-1630.93
215	1.3859	1081.74	7.1598E+10	-443.038	2836.607	-84.026	- 1669.83
210	7.0172E-01	1081.07	1.3811E+11	-451.321	2835.633	-92.472	-1708.81
205	3.4381E-01	1080.41	2.7519E+11	-459.427	2834.483	-101.114	-1747.87
200	1.6260E-01	1079.77	5.6769E+11	-467.357	2833.157	-109.951	-1787.03
195	7.4028E - 02	1079.15	1.2157E+12	-475.111	2831.656	-118.984	-1826.29
190	3.2352E-02	1078.56	2.7104E+12	-482.691	2829.982	-128.214	-1865.67
185	1.3527E - 02	1077.98	6.3117E+12	-490.097	2828.135	-137.641	-1905.17
180	5.3921E-03	1077.42	1.5406E+13	-497.331	2826.117	-147.266	-1944.81
175	2.0408E-03	1076.89	3.9576E+13	-504.393	2823.927	-157.089	-1984.59
170	7.3007E - 04	1076.38	1.0747E + 14	-511.284	2821.567	-167.112	-2024.54
165	2.4564E - 04	1075.89	3.1001E+14	-518.006	2819.038	-177.335	-2064.67
160	7.7289E-05	1075.43	9.5541E+14	-524.558	2816.340	-187.759	-2104.99
155	2.2598E-05	1074.99	3.1655E+15	-530.941	2813.474	-198.385	-2145.52
150	6.0957E-06	1074.57	1.1357E+16	-537.156	2810.441	-209.215	-2186.28
145	1.5045E - 06	1074.18	4.4479E+16	-543.203	2807.239	-220.249	-2227.27
140	3.3662E-07	1073.81	1.9194E + 17	-549.082	2803.870	-231.488	-2268.53
135	6.7542E-08	1073.47	9.2246E+17	-554.791	2800.332	-242.934	-2310.05
130	1.2004E - 08	1073.15	4.9982E+18	-560.331	2796.624	-254.589	-2351.86

8. References

- Bramwell, S. T., Nature 397, 212 (1999).
- Bridgman, P. W., Proc. Am. Acad. Arts Sci. 47, 441 (1912a).
- Bridgman, P. W., Proc. Am. Acad. Arts Sci. 48, 309 (1912b).
- Bridgman, P. W., J. Chem. Phys. 3, 597 (1935).
- Bridgman, P. W., J. Chem. Phys. 5, 964 (1937).
- Brill, R. and A. Tippe, Acta Cryst. 23, 343 (1967).
- Brockamp, B. and H. Rüter, Z. Geophys. 35, 277 (1969).
- Bryson III, C. E., V. Cazcarra, and L. L. Levenson., J. Chem. Eng. Data 19, 107 (1974).
- Butkovich, T. R., J. Glaciol. 2, 553 (1955).
- Butkovich, T. R., SIPRE Res. Rep. 40, 1 (1957).
- Caldwell, D. R., Deep-Sea Res. 25, 175 (1978).
- Cox, J. D., D. D. Wagman, and V. A. Medvedev, CODATA Key Values for Thermodynamics (Hemisphere Publishing Corp., 1989).
- Dantl, G., Z. Phys. 166, 115 (1962); Berichtigung. Z. Phys. 169, 466 (1962).
- Dantl, G., Elastische Moduln und mechanische Dämpfung in Eis-Einkristallen (Dissertation, TH Stuttgart, 1967).
- Dantl, G., Phys. Kondens. Materie 7, 390 (1968).
- Dantl, G., in *Physics of Ice*, edited by N. Riehl, B. Bullemer, and H. Engelhardt (Plenum, New York, 1969), p. 223.
- Dantl, G. and I. Gregora, Naturwiss. 55, 176 (1968).
- Dengel, O., U. Eckener, H. Plitz, and N. Riehl, Phys. Lett. 9, 291 (1964).
- Dieterici, C., Ann. Physik 16, 593 (1905).
- Dorsey, N. E., Properties of Ordinary Water-Substance (Hafner Publishing Company, New York, 1968).
- Douslin, D. R. and A. Osborn, J. Sci. Instrum. 42, 369 (1965).
- Feistel, R., Progr. Oceanogr. 58, 43 (2003).
- Feistel, R. and E. Hagen, Progr. Oceanogr. 36, 249 (1995).
- Feistel, R. and W. Wagner, J. Mar. Res. 63, 95 (2005).

- Feistel, R., W. Wagner, V. Tchijov, and C. Guder, Ocean Sci. Disc. 2, 37 (2005); Ocean Sci. 1, 29 (2005).
- Fletcher, N. H., The Chemical Physics of Ice (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1970).
- Flubacher, P., A. J. Leadbetter, and J. A. Morrison, J. Chem. Phys. 33, 1751 (1960).
- Franks, F., in Water—A Comprehensive Treatise. Vol. 1. edited by F. Franks (Plenum, New York, London, 1972).
- Fukusako, S., Int. J. Thermophys. 11, 353 (1990).
- Gagnon, R. E., H. Kiefte, M. J. Clouter, and E. Whalley, J. Chem. Phys. 89, 4522 (1988).
- Gammon, P. H., H. Kiefte, and M. J. Clouter, J. Glaciol. 25, 159 (1980).
- Gammon, P. H., H. Kiefte, M. J. Clouter, and W. W. Denner, J. Glaciol. 29, 433 (1983).
- Giauque, W. F. and J. W. Stout, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 58, 1144 (1936).
- Ginnings, D. C. and R. J. Corruccini, J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand. 38, 583 (1947).
- Gordon, A. R., J. Chem. Phys. 2, 65 (1934).
- Griffiths, E., Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 26, 1 (1913).
- Guildner, L. A., D. P. Johnson, and F. E. Jones, J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand. 80A, 505 (1976).
- Haida, O., T. Matsuo, H. Suga, and S. Seki, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 6, 815 (1974).
- Henderson, S. J. and R. J. Speedy, J. Phys. Chem. 91, 3096 (1987).
- Hobbs, P. V., Ice Physics (Clarendon, Oxford, 1974).
- Howe, R. and R. W. Whitworth, J. Chem. Phys. 90, 4450 (1989).
- Hyland, R. W. and A. Wexler, Trans. Am. Soc. Heat. Refrig. Air Cond. Eng. **89**, 500 (1983).
- IAPWS, Guideline on the Use of Fundamental Constants and Basic Con-

stants of Water (The International Association for the Properties of Water and Steam, 2001, revision 2005).

- Iedema, M. J., M. J. Dresser, D. L. Doering, J. B. Rowland, W. P. Hess, A. A. Tsekouras, and J. P. Cowin, J. Phys. Chem. B 102, 9203 (1998).
- ISO, *Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement* (International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, 1993a).
- ISO, ISO Standards Handbook (International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, 1993b).
- Jakob, M. and S. Erk, Mitt. Phys.-Techn. Reichsanst. 35, 302 (1929).
- Jancso, G., J. Pupezin, and W. A. Van Hook, J. Phys. Chem. 74, 2984 (1970).
- Johari, G. P., J. Chem. Phys. 109, 9543 (1998).
- Johari, G. P. and S. J. Jones, J. Chem. Phys. 62, 4213 (1975).
- Kuo, J.-L., J. V. Coe, S. J. Singer, Y. B. Band, and L. Ojamäe, J. Chem. Phys. 114, 2527 (2001).
- Kuo, J.-L., M. L. Klein, S. J. Singer, and L. Ojamäe, in *Abstracts of Papers*, 227th ACS National Meeting, Anaheim, CA, USA, March 28–April 1, 2004, PHYS-463 (American Chemical Society, 2004).
- Landau, L. D. and E. M. Lifschitz, *Statistische Physik* (Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1966).
- LaPlaca, S. and B. Post, Acta Cryst. 13, 503 (1960).
- Leadbetter, A. J., Proc. Roy. Soc. London 287, 403 (1965).
- Lobban, C., J. L. Finney, and W. F. Kuhs, Nature 391, 268 (1998).
- Lonsdale, D. K, Proc. Roy. Soc. London 247, 424 (1958).
- Marion, G. N. and S. D. Jakubowski, Cold Regions Sci. Technol. 38, 211 (2004).
- Marti, J. and K. Mauersberger, Geophys. Res. Lett. 20, 363 (1993).
- Matsuo, T., Y. Tajima, and H. Suga, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 47, 165 (1986).
- Mauersberger, K. and D. Krankowsky, Geophys. Res. Lett. **30**, 1121 (2003). Megaw, H. D., Nature **134**, 900 (1934).
- Millero, F. J., Unesco Techn. Pap. Mar. Sci. 28, 29 (1978).
- Mohr, P. J. and B. N. Taylor, Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 1 (2005).
- Murphy, D. M. and T. Koop, Q. J. Royal Met. Society 608, 1539 (2005).
- Nagle, J. F., J. Math. Phys. 7, 1484 (1966).
- Nagornov, O. V. and V. E. Chizhov, J. Appl. Mech. Techn. Phys. **31**, 343 (1990).
- NBS, Announcement of Changes in Electrical and Photometric Units, NBS Circular C459 (U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1948).
- Nicholas, J. V., T. D. Dransfield, and D. R. White, Metrologia 33, 265 (1996).
- Osborne, N. S., J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand. 23, 643 (1939).

- Pauling, L., J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 57, 2680 (1935).
- Petrenko, V. F., CRREL Report 93-25, 1 (1993).
- Petrenko, V. F. and R. W. Whitworth, *Physics of Ice* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1999).
- Pitzer, K. S. and J. Polissar, J. Phys. Chem. 60, 1140 (1956).
- Pounder, E. R., Physics of Ice (Pergamon, Oxford, 1965).
- Preston-Thomas, H., Metrologia 27, 3 (1990).
- Proctor, T. M., Jr., J. Acoust. Soc. Amer. 39, 972 (1966).
- PTB, Quecksilber. PTB-Stoffdatenblätter SDB 12 (Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt, Braunschweig and Berlin, 1995).
- Richards, T. W. and C. L. Speyers, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 36, 491 (1914).
- Rossini, F. D., D. W. Wagman, W. H. Evans, S. Levine, and I. Jaffe, *Selected Values of Chemical Thermodynamic Properties*, Circular of the National Bureau of Standards 500 (US Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1952).
- Röttger, K., A. Endriss, J. Ihringer, S. Doyle, and W. F. Kuhs, Acta Crystall. B50, 644 (1994).
- Singer, S. J., J.-L. Kuo, T. K. Hirsch, C. Knight, L. Ojamäe, and M. L. Klein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 135701 (2005).
- Sugisaki, M., H. Suga, and S. Seki, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. **41**, 2591 (1968). Tanaka, H., J. Chem. Phys. **108**, 4887 (1998).
- Tillner-Roth, R., Fundamental Equations of State (Shaker Verlag, Aachen, 1998).
- Truby, F. K., Science 121, 404 (1955).
- van den Beukel, A., Phys. Status Solidi 28, 565 (1968).
- Wagner, W. and A. Pruß, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 31, 387 (2002).
- Wagner, W., A. Saul, and A. Pruß, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 23, 515 (1994).
- Wexler, A., J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand. 81A, 5 (1977).
- White, D. R., T. D. Dransfield, G. F. Strouse, W. L. Tew, R. L. Rusby, and J. Gray, in *Temperature: Its Measurement and Control in Science and Industry*, Volume 7, *AIP Conference Proceedings* 684, edited by D.C. Ripple (American Institute of Physics, Melville, New York, 2003), p. 221.
- Woolley, H. W., in Water and Steam, Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on the Properties of Steam, edited by J. Straub and K. Scheffler (Pergamon, New York, 1980), p. 166.
- Yamamuro, O., M. Oguni, T. Matsuo, and H. Suga, J. Chem. Phys. 86, 5137 (1987).
- Yen, Y.-C., CCREL Report 81-10, 1 (1981).
- Yen, Y.-C., K. C. Cheng, and S. Fukusako, in *Proceedings 3rd International Symposium on Cold Regions Heat Transfer*, edited by J. P. Zarling and S. L. Faussett (Fairbanks, AL, 1991), p. 187.